A chemosensory-based biotic ligand model

INVITED PAPER

PREDICTING 'NON-SCENTS': RATIONALE FOR A CHEMOSENSORY-BASED BIOTIC LIGAND MODEL

Greg Pyle^{1*} and Chris Wood²

¹Dept. of Biology, Nipissing University, North Bay, ON, Canada P1B 8L7.

²Dept. of Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada L8S 4K1.

Manuscript received, 20/11/2007; accepted, 28/2/2008.

Key words: chemosensation; metal toxicity; gill-based BLM; chemosensory-based BLM; ecological relevance.

COMMENTARY

Chemical communication systems involving pheromones, kairomones, and other signalling molecules, show a high degree of phylogenetic conservation in the underlying neurological organization (Metazoans) and molecular processing machinery among disparate extant species. These chemical communication systems are best developed and show the greatest diversity and sophistication in the aquatic environment, where organisms evolved under the selective influences of water—a universal solvent. Information conveyed to receiver organisms (especially animals) includes the location of predators (Chivers and Smith 1998; Wisenden 2000) or food (Valentinčič et al. 2000a; Valentinčič et al. 2000b), the identity of kin (Olsen et al. 1998; Courtenay et al. 2001; Mann et al. 2003), the genetic or reproductive status of potential mates (Eggert et al. 1999; Pinillos et al. 2002; Belanger et al. 2004), and the position of migratory routes (Hara 1994). In other words, chemical signals provide aquatic organisms with information related to the vital processes of life itself: finding food, avoiding becoming food, and reproduction.

Metals have long been known as powerful chemosensory inhibitors which thereby perturb natural behavioural patterns (Gardner and LaRoche 1973; Hansen *et al.* 1999a; Hansen *et al.* 1999b; Baldwin *et al.* 2003; Sandahl *et al.* 2004; Scott and Sloman 2004; Sandahl *et al.* 2006). Our recent work has shown that metals, at environmentally-relevant concentrations, can interfere with chemosensation in aquatic animals, both under controlled and natural conditions. Given the importance of chemosensation for maintaining healthy populations, metal-induced chemosensory deficits could potentially lead to large-scale ecological perturbations in metal-contaminated environments. Unfortunately, this effect has to date received only limited attention by the scientific community, and negligible attention by environmental regulators.

Using a range of aquatic animals from three trophic levels of a typical freshwater ecosystem, including leeches (*Nephelopsis obscura*) representing benthos, *Daphnia pulex* representing zooplankton, and fishes (including fathead minnows, *Pimephales promelas*, and yellow perch, *Perca flavescens*) representing predators, we have demonstrated subtle metal effects on chemosensation in every chemical communication system examined to date. Copper concentrations as low as 10 µg/L were sufficient to impair leech feeding activity

(Pyle and Mirza 2007). Copper-exposed leeches were not attracted to a chemosensory food stimulus in a Y-maze, and spent more time searching for food and less time feeding than controls when presented with a food item in a feeding experiment. Adult Daphnia pulex exposed to 10 µg Cu/L were unable to produce neonates with neck spines (an inducible antipredator morphological defence mechanism) in the presence of a predator kairomone (Hunter and Pyle 2004), which significantly increased their vulnerability to predation and reduced their population growth rates relative to controls (Mirza and Pyle submitted, a, b). Fishes exposed to low aqueous metal concentrations could not respond to chemical alarm cue in the laboratory (Scott et al. 2003) or in the field (in metal-contaminated lakes around Sudbury) (McPherson et al. 2004), and were unable to differentiate between high- and low-condition mates on the basis of chemical cues in mate-choice experiments (compensation by visual cues did not occur; Hillman et al., unpublished data). Although adult fish can usually recover from metalinduced chemosensory impairment after a short recovery period in clean water (Saucier and Astic 1995; Bettini et al. 2006; Sandahl et al. 2006), fish embryos exposed to environmentally-relevant metal concentrations suffer longterm or permanent chemosensory dysfunction (Carreau and Pyle 2005).

The biotic ligand model (BLM) has been developed as a regulatory tool to predict metal toxicity to aquatic organisms by considering site-specific water quality and metal binding dynamics at physiologically-sensitive binding sites or 'biotic ligands', which have traditionally been ionoregulatory proteins (channels, transporters, or enzymes) on the gills of fishes (Paquin et al. 2002; Niyogi and Wood 2004). These sites normally transport nutrient cations (e.g., Na⁺, Ca²⁺), and metal interaction with these sites manifests as "binding" in short term exposures (e.g., 3 hours). A considerable international research effort over the past decade or so has established a significant inverse relationship between the binding affinity (log *K*) of different metals to the gill surface and their acute toxicity - i.e., the aqueous metal exposure concentration required to kill 50% (LC50) of the test animals (Niyogi and Wood 2004). For each metal, and for several different organisms, specific log K values (negative log of the dissociation constant K for the gill ligand-ionic metal binding, at the 50% binding or toxicity point) have been determined experimentally, as well as binding site densities (B_{max}) and LA50 values (short term gill metal burdens predictive of 50% mortality at 48-96 h). The protective actions of competing cations in the exposure water (e.g., Ca2+, Mg2+, H+, Na+) have been quantified as comparable log K values by their ability to inhibit gill metal-binding and (or) to raise the LC50. The protective actions of anions which may complex metals in the exposure water [e.g., Cl, HCO₃/CO₃², natural organic matter (NOM) or dissolved organic carbon (DOC)] have been similarly quantified. With the help of geochemical modelling programs, Biotic Ligand Models have been built using these constants to predict gill metal burdens, and therefore toxicity for diagnostic organisms, as a function of the known chemistry of specific receiving waters. The BLM has shown great promise as a regulatory tool given its conceptual simplicity and that its predictions correspond with measured toxicity within a factor of approximately plus or minus two-fold in extensive acute toxicity testing with natural waters (Santore et al. 2002). Consequently, the BLM approach has been adopted or considered for adoption by several countries/jurisdictions around the world, including Australia, Canada, the European Union, New Zealand and the United States.

However, predictions from the traditional gill-based BLM approach involve several assumptions which have been difficult to reconcile under natural conditions. The model assumes that water is the only source of metal available to the organism, despite increasing evidence that dietary metals contribute significantly towards fish tissue metal accumulation (Clearwater et al. 2002; Meyer et al. 2005), including at the gills (Mount et al. 1994; Kamunde et al. 2002). Dietary metals can accumulate to a higher total concentration in the gill before toxicity is induced relative to waterborne metals, probably because waterborne metals are taken up via apical ion channels and transporters in their most bioavailable and toxic form (i.e., Meⁿ⁺), whereas dietary metals are probably protein-bound and relatively non-toxic by the time they enter the gill tissue through basolateral pathways (Kamunde et al. 2001). Because a gill-based BLM (gbBLM) attempts to predict toxicity based on the concentration of metals in the gill, the relative contribution of waterborne and dietary metals to total gill metal concentration and toxicity must be known before accurate predictions can be made.

Another issue is that B_{max} , $\log K$, and LA50 values can be affected by dietary ion and metal content, acclimation water quality conditions, and exposure history (Niyogi and Wood 2003; Franklin *et al.* 2005). Although one assumption of a gbBLM is that metal-gill binding constants are fixed for any given organism, several studies have demonstrated that this is not the case—especially in wild fish subjected to chronic exposure in metal-contaminated lakes (Taylor *et al.* 2000; Niyogi *et al.* 2004; Klinck *et al.* 2007; Niyogi *et al.* 2007; Pyle and Wood in press). These observations have made it difficult for the BLM to predict metal toxicity to wild fish inhabiting metal contaminated freshwater systems.

At present, gbBLMs are developed to predict acute toxicity. However, most natural metal-contaminated systems rarely achieve metal concentrations that are high enough to cause acute toxicity. In recent surveys of several lakes around the metal-mining district of Sudbury, Ontario, Canada, measured

aqueous metal concentrations were considerably below concentrations required to induce acute toxicity to resident fish (Pyle *et al.* 2005; Couture *et al.* 2008), which calls into question the ecological relevance of gbBLM predictions of acute toxicity. To address some of these shortcomings of the current BLM paradigm, research emphasis has begun to shift from predicting acute toxicity to chronic toxicity, in part by acknowledging the influence of metal-gut dynamics (Klinck *et al.* 2007; Niyogi *et al.* 2007), the interaction between waterborne and dietary metals (Kamunde *et al.* 2002), and the role of diet quality on metal uptake and accumulation in gills (Zohouri *et al.* 2001; Kamunde *et al.* 2003; Pyle *et al.* 2003; Baldisserotto *et al.* 2004; Kjoss *et al.* 2005).

The cornerstone of any model that attempts to predict toxicity is ecological relevance. Because of some of the issues raised above, especially the influence of diet on gillmetal accumulation and the focus on acute toxicity, the current gbBLM has been difficult to validate in wild fish inhabiting metal-contaminated environments. One approach to overcoming these issues is to develop a chemosensorybased BLM (cbBLM) for laboratory-reared and wild fishes, using the olfactory epithelium as the biotic ligand. Like the gill epithelium, the olfactory epithelium is continually exposed to ambient water throughout a fish's life. Unlike the gill epithelium, the primary function of olfactory epithelium is to truly bind chemicals (rather than transport them) in the environment (usually odour molecules), making it ideally suited for biotic ligand modelling purposes. Waterborne metals certainly accumulate in the olfactory epithelium (Julliard et al. 1995; Scott et al. 2003). Binding constants generated from metals binding to the olfactory epithelium should not be affected by dietary metals because dietary metals are unlikely to accumulate in the olfactory epithelium (Scott et al. 2003).

We have now developed a method using radiolabelled metals for calculating BLM parameters $\log K$ and B_{max} at the olfactory epithelium (Mirza et al. submitted). Our early results indicate that metal-binding to the olfactory epithelium is saturable, at least for Cd, suggesting that a discrete number of sites are involved. Membrane saturability by metals is a requirement for the successful calculation of BLM parameters. Moreover, wild fish from metal contaminated lakes show an increase in metal-olfactory epithelium binding capacity (B_{max}) and a decrease in binding affinity (log K), which is directly analogous to observations in wild fish gills. We are currently in the process of relating metal effects, such as neurophysiological responses (measured using electroolfactography (EOG); e.g., Sandahl et al. 2004; Sandahl et al. 2006) of the olfactory epithelium to standard chemosensory stimuli and behavioural responses to the same stimuli, to BLM binding parameters. Progress to date suggests that development of a cbBLM using the fish olfactory epithelium is possible (e.g., Sandahl et al. 2007).

Implementing a cbBLM will pose some logistical challenges. Chemosensory epithelia are typically small relative to gills and the metal concentrations required to induce a chemosensory effect are low. These small samples sizes and low metal concentrations have necessitated the use of radioisotopes in

order to estimate BLM parameters $\log K$ and B_{max} . Although we have begun developing neurophysiological methods analogous to EOG for daphniids (i.e., electro-antennogram; EAG), the same analytical issues related to small sample size will need to be overcome. New, non-radiological methods should be developed that can accommodate small tissue biomass and low metal concentrations.

The potential advantages of a cbBLM over a gill-based BLM are many-fold. Ecologically-important metal effects related to chemosensation, such as finding food and mates and avoiding predators, are known to occur at environmentally-relevant, sub-acute metal concentrations (Pyle and Mirza 2007). Results generated in the laboratory can be extrapolated to the field (McPherson et al. 2004). Chemosensory epithelia serve only one function—binding molecules. Consequently, confounding factors related to the source of metal exposure (aqueous vs. diet) are likely mitigated in a chemosensory-based model. Moreover, because of the high degree of phylogenetic conservation of the molecular machinery that drives chemosensation among disparate animal groups (Hildebrand 1995), identification of a single mechanism of toxic action may be extrapolated to a wide range of species. Ultimately, a cbBLM may prove to be an important contribution towards improving the ecological relevance of Environmental Risk Assessments in metal-contaminated environments. Our next challenge will be to link cbBLM predictions to large-scale population, community, or ecosystem effects.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

An increasing body of research has provided unequivocal evidence that dissolved metals can interfere with chemosensation at or below concentrations typically associated with other toxicity endpoints. These effects have the potential to result in large-scale ecological perturbations. The Biotic Ligand Model (BLM) attempts to predict acute metal toxicity by considering site-specific water quality and metal binding characteristics at a physiologically-sensitive biotic ligand, which is typically the gills of fishes. However, several factors are now known to influence gill metal-binding dynamics, including metal concentrations and ionic composition of the diet. Moreover, gill-based BLMs generally predict acute metal toxicity, but metals in contaminated natural waters rarely achieve concentrations high enough to cause acute toxicity. These confounding factors have made it difficult to apply a gill-based BLM approach to wild fishes inhabiting metal-contaminated freshwater environments and have led to questions about ecological relevance of BLM predictions. Here, we propose to substitute the olfactory epithelium for the gill epithelium as a biotic ligand for BLM purposes in support of a chemosensory-based BLM (cbBLM). Although there may be some analytical challenges to overcome owing to small tissue biomasses associated with chemosensory structures, the advantages of such a BLM approach could improve the ecological relevance of its predictions over the current gill-based paradigm.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The ongoing research described here is supported by NSERC to GGP and the NSERC MITHE-SRN. CMW is supported by the Canada Research Chair Program.

REFERENCES

Baldisserotto B, Kamunde C, Matsuo A and Wood CM. 2004. A protective effect of dietary calcium against acute waterborne cadmium uptake in rainbow trout. *Aquatic Toxicology* **67**, 57-73.

Baldwin DH, Sandahl JF, Labenia JS and Scholz NL. 2003. Sublethal effects of copper on coho salmon: impacts on nonoverlapping receptor pathways in the peripheral olfactory nervous system. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **22**, 2266-2274.

Belanger AJ, Arbuckle WJ, Corkum LD, Gammon DB, Li W, Scott AP and Zielinski BS. 2004. Behavioural and electrophysiological responses by reproductive female *Neogobius melanostomus* to odours released by conspecific males. *Journal of Fish Biology* **65**, 933-946.

Bettini S, Ciani F and Franceschini V. 2006. Recovery of the olfactory receptor neurons in the African *Tilapia mariae* following exposure to low copper level. *Aquatic Toxicology* **76**, 321-328.

Carreau ND and Pyle GG. 2005. Effect of copper exposure during embryonic development on chemosensory function of juvenile fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **61**, 1-6.

Chivers DP and Smith RJF. 1998. Chemical alarm signalling in aquatic predator-prey systems: A review and prospectus. *Ecoscience* **5**, 338-352.

Clearwater SJ, Farag AM and Meyer JS. 2002. Bioavailability and toxicity of dietborne copper and zinc to fish. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology and Pharmacology* **132**, 269-313.

Courtenay SC, Quinn TP, Dupuis HMC, Groot C and Larkin PA. 2001. Discrimination of family-specific odours by juvenile coho salmon: roles of learning and odour concentration. *Journal of Fish Biology* **58**, 107-125.

Couture P, Busby P, Rajotte J, Gauthier C and Pyle G. 2008. Seasonal and regional variations of metal contamination and condition indicators in yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) along two polymetallic gradients. I. Factors influencing tissue metal concentrations. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* 14, 97-125.

Eggert F, Muller-Ruchholtz W and Ferstl R. 1999. Olfactory cues associated with the major histocompatibility complex. *Genetica* **104**, 191-197.

Franklin NM, Glover CN, Nicol JA and Wood CM. 2005. Calcium/cadmium interactions at uptake surfaces in rainbow trout: waterborne versus dietary routes of exposure. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **24**, 2954-2964.

Gardner GR and LaRoche G. 1973. Copper induced lesions in estuarine teleosts. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* **30**, 363-368.

Hansen JA, Marr JCA, Lipton J, Cacela D and Bergman HL. 1999a. Differences in neurobehavioral responses of chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) exposed to copper and cobalt: Behavioral avoidance. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **18**, 1972-1978.

Hansen JA, Woodward DF, Little EE, DeLonay AJ and Bergman HL. 1999b. Behavioral avoidance: possible mechanism for explaining abundance and distribution of trout species in a metal-impacted river. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **18**, 313-317.

Hara TJ. 1994. Olfaction and gustation in fish: an overview. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica* **152**, 207-217.

Hildebrand JG. 1995. Analysis of chemical signals by nervous systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **92**. 67-74.

Hunter K and Pyle G. 2004. Morphological responses of *Daphnia pulex* to *Chaoborus americanus* kairomone in the presence and absence of metals. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **23**, 1311-1316.

Julliard AK, Saucier D and Astic L. 1995. Metal X-ray microanalysis in the olfactory system of rainbow trout exposed to low level of copper. *Biology of the Cell* 83, 77-86.

Kamunde C, Clayton C and Wood CM. 2002. Waterborne vs. dietary copper uptake in rainbow trout and the effects of previous waterborne copper exposure. *American Journal of Physiology: Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **283**, 69-78.

Kamunde CN, Grosell M, Lott JNA and Wood CM. 2001. Copper metabolism and gut morphology in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) during chronic dietary copper exposure. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **58**, 293-305.

Kamunde CN, Pyle GG, McDonald DG and Wood CM. 2003. Influence of dietary sodium and waterborne copper exposure on copper and sodium homeostasis, sublethal copper toxicity, and gill copper binding in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **22**, 342-350.

Kjoss VA, Kamunde CN, Niyogi S, Grosell M and Wood CM. 2005. Dietary Na does not reduce dietary Cu uptake by juvenile rainbow trout. *Journal of Fish Biology* **66**, 468-484.

Klinck JS, Green WW, Mirza RS, Nadella SR, Chowdhury MJ, Wood CM and Pyle GG. 2007. Branchial cadmium and copper binding and intestinal cadmium uptake in wild yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) from clean and metal-contaminated lakes. *Aquatic Toxicology* **84**, 198-207.

Mann KD, Turnell ER, Atema J and Gerlach G. 2003. Kin recognition in juvenile zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) based on olfactory cues. *Biology Bulletin* **205**, 224-225.

McPherson TD, Mirza RS and Pyle GG. 2004. Responses of wild fishes to alarm chemicals in pristine and metal-contaminated lakes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **82**, 694-700.

Meyer J, Adams WJ, Brix KV, Luoma SN, Mount DR, Stubblefield WA and Wood CM. 2005. *Toxicity of Dietborne Metals to Aquatic Organisms*. SETAC Press, Pensacola, FL, USA.

Mirza RS, Green WW, Connor S, Weeks ACW, Wood CM and Pyle GG. submitted. Do you smell what I smell? Olfactory impairment in wild yellow perch from metal-contaminated waters in northern Ontario, Canada. **p 44.**

Mirza RS and Pyle GG. submitted-a. Influence of waterborne copper and invertebrate predation on the intrinsic rate of population growth of *Daphnia pulex*. **p 29.**

Mirza RS and Pyle GG. submitted-b. Waterborne metals impair inducible defences in *Daphnia pulex*: morphology, life-history traits and encounters with predators. **p 38.**

Mount DR, Barth AK, Garrison TD, Barten KA and Hockett JR. 1994. Dietary and waterborne exposure of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to copper, cadmium, lead and zinc using a live diet. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **13**, 2031-2041.

Niyogi S, Couture P, Pyle G, McDonald DG and Wood CM. 2004. Acute cadmium biotic ligand model characteristics of laboratory-reared and wild yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) relative to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **61**, 942-953.

Niyogi S, Pyle GG and Wood CM. 2007. Branchial versus intestinal zinc uptake in wild yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) from reference and metal-contaminated aquatic ecosystems. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **64**, 1605-1613.

Niyogi S and Wood CM. 2003. Effects of chronic waterborne and dietary metal exposures on gill metal-binding: implications for the Biotic Ligand Model. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* **9**, 813-846.

Niyogi S and Wood CM. 2004. Biotic ligand model, a flexible tool for developing site-specific water quality guidelines for metals. *Environmental Science and Technology* **38**, 6177-6192.

Olsen KH, Grahn M, Lohm J and Langefors A. 1998. MHC and kin discrimination in juvenile Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.). *Animal Behaviour* **56**, 319-327.

Paquin PR, Gorsuch JW, Apte S, Batley GE, Bowles KC, Campbell PG, Delos CG, Di Toro DM, Dwyer RL, Galvez F, Gensemer RW, Goss GG, Hogstrand C, Janssen CR, McGeer JC, Naddy RB, Playle RC, Santore RC, Schneider U, Stubblefield WA, Wood CM and Wu KB. 2002. The biotic ligand model: a historical overview. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology* 133, 3-35.

Pinillos ML, Guijarro AI, Delgado MJ, Hubbard PC, Canario AVM and Scott AP. 2002. Production, release and olfactory detection of sex steroids by the tench (*Tinca tinca L.*). Fish Physiology and Biochemistry **26**, 197-210.

Pyle GG, Kamunde CN, McDonald DG and Wood CM. 2003. Dietary sodium inhibits aqueous copper uptake in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Journal of Experimental Biology* **206**, 609-618.

Pyle GG and Mirza RS. 2007. Copper-impaired chemosensory function and behavior in aquatic animals. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* **13**, 492-505.

Pyle GG, Rajotte JW and Couture P. 2005. Effects of industrial metals on wild fish populations along a metal contamination gradient. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **61**, 287-312.

Pyle GG and Wood CM. in press. Radiotracer studies on waterborne copper uptake, distribution, and toxicity in rainbow trout and yellow perch: a comparative analysis. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*.

Sandahl JF, Baldwin DH, Jenkins JJ and Scholz NL. 2004. Odor-evoked field potentials as indicators of sublethal neurotoxicity in juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) exposed to copper, chlorpyrifos, or esfenvalerate. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **61**, 401-413.

Sandahl JF, Baldwin DH, Jenkins JJ and Scholz NL. 2007. A sensory system at the interface between urban stormwater runoff and salmon survival. *Environmental Science & Technology* **41**, 2998-3004.

Sandahl JF, Miyasaka G, Koide N and Ueda H. 2006. Olfactory inhibition and recovery in chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) following copper exposure. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **63**, 1840-1847.

Santore RC, Mathew R, Paquin PR and DiToro D. 2002. Application of the biotic ligand model to predicting zinc toxicity to rainbow trout, fathead minnow, and *Daphnia magna*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology* **133**, 271-285.

Saucier D and Astic L. 1995. Morpho-functional alterations in the olfactory system of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and possible acclimation in response to long-lasting exposure to low copper levels. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 112, 273-284.

Scott GR and Sloman KA. 2004. The effects of environmental pollutants on complex fish behaviour: integrating behavioural and physiological indicators of toxicity. *Aquatic Toxicology* **68**, 369-392.

Scott GR, Sloman KA, Rouleau C and Wood CM. 2003. Cadmium disrupts behavioural and physiological responses to alarm substance in juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Journal of Experimental Biology* **206**, 1779-1790.

Taylor LN, McGeer JC, Wood CM and McDonald DG. 2000. Physiological effects of chronic copper exposure to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in hard and soft water: Evaluation of chronic indicators. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **19**, 2298-2308.

Valentinčič T, Kralj J, Stenovec M, Koce A and Caprio J. 2000a. The behavioral detection of binary mixtures of amino acids and their individual components by catfish. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **203** Pt 21, 3307-3317.

Valentinčič T, Metelko J, Ota D, Pirc V and Blejec A. 2000b. Olfactory discrimination of amino acids in brown bullhead catfish. *Chemical Senses* **25**, 21-29.

Wisenden BD. 2000. Olfactory assessment of predation risk in the aquatic environment. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences* **355**, 1205-1208.

Zohouri MA, Pyle GG and Wood CM. 2001. Dietary Ca inhibits waterborne Cd uptake in Cd-exposed rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part C, Toxicology and Pharmacology* **130**, 347-356.