

Integrating high-speed videos in capture–mark–recapture studies of insects

Rassim Khelifa¹ | Hayat Mahdjoub¹ | Leithen K. M'Gonigle² | Claire Kremen^{1,3}

¹Department of Zoology and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

²Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada

³Institute for Resources, Environment and Sustainability, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Correspondence

Rassim Khelifa, Department of Zoology and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
Email: rassimkhelifa@gmail.com

Funding information

Swiss National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: P400PB_191139

Abstract

Capture–mark–recapture (CMR) studies have been used extensively in ecology and evolution. While it is feasible to apply CMR in some animals, it is considerably more challenging in small fast-moving species such as insects. In these groups, low recapture rates can bias estimates of demographic parameters, thereby handicapping effective analysis and management of wild populations. Here, we use high-speed videos (HSV) to detect the mark of two large dragonfly species, *Anax junius* and *Rhionaeschna multicolor*, that rarely land and, thus, are particularly challenging for CMR studies. We test whether HSV, compared to conventional “eye” observations, increases the “resighting” rates and, consequently, improves estimates of both survival rates and the effects of demographic covariates on survival. We show that the use of HSV increases the number of resights by 64% in *A. junius* and 48% in *R. multicolor*. HSV improved our estimates of resighting and survival probability which were either under- or overestimated with the conventional observations. Including HSV improved credible intervals for resighting rate and survival probability by 190% and 130% in *A. junius* and *R. multicolor*, respectively. Hence, it has the potential to open the door to a wide range of research possibilities on species that are traditionally difficult to monitor with distance sampling, including within insects and birds.

KEYWORDS

capture–mark–recapture, demography, ecology, insects, recapture, survival

1 | INTRODUCTION

Capture–mark–recapture (CMR) is a widely used sampling and statistical method in animal ecology, evolution, and biological conservation (Amstrup et al., 2010; Lettink & Armstrong, 2003; McCrea & Morgan, 2014; Pradel, 1996; Williams et al., 2002). It enables inferences about population size, survival rates, recruitment, and dispersal, as well as inferences about how both intrinsic (e.g., body size, age, disease) and extrinsic factors (e.g., temperature, precipitation, food) (Conn & Cooch, 2009; Hassall et al., 2015; Martins et al., 2011;

Rose et al., 2018) affect these demographic measures. Depending on the taxa, capturing and marking animals in the wild can be a difficult task and stressful experience for the handled individuals. Recapturing marked individuals is also a challenging process, even in systems where individuals do not disperse. These difficulties are enhanced for highly mobile animals such as mammals, birds, and insects (Dickinson et al., 1999; Muijres et al., 2012).

Insect species can be good model organisms for CMR studies (Hagler & Jackson, 2001; Mollet et al., 2015; Schtickzelle et al., 2003). Besides their smaller size which allows convenient

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

capture, handling, and marking, they also have a short life cycle, conspicuous behavior, small home-range size, and fixed adult size. Identifying a marked individual often requires immobilizing it, as small size and rapid speed means that marks are typically difficult to detect while an insect is in motion. While repeated physical captures enable identification of marked individuals (Hagler & Jackson, 2001), repeat captures of insects may alter their behavior and/or cause injuries, thereby affecting their survival (Cordero et al., 2002; Morton, 1982). Telemetry is another possible solution, but it is still technologically challenging and costly to miniaturize transmitters to a size that suitable for most insects (Kissling et al., 2014). Consequently, most CMR studies have been carried out with conventional direct observations of marks on insects, but these often yield low recapture rates and, subsequently, produce unreliable demographic and/or dispersal estimates (Service, 1993). Such poor estimates can hinder conservation planning (Hammill & Clements, 2020). To obtain higher recapture rates, it is necessary to increase the amount of sampling efforts which often requires a larger number of surveyors, increased financial cost, and greater ecological disturbance.

One of the best represented insect groups in CMR studies is odonates (dragonflies and damselflies) (Conrad et al., 1999; Cordero-Rivera & Stoks, 2008; Kéry & Juillerat, 2004; Khelifa et al., 2016). Usually, for species that regularly perch and do not consistently move, an alphanumeric code is written on the wing with a permanent marker. For active species that often fly, an alternative marking method is to use colored spots on the wings to identify flying dragonflies without capture (Cordero et al., 1999; Jacobs, 1955). In all cases, odonates can be particularly challenging to resight after release, particularly in the large species (especially those in the Aeshnidae and Libellulidae families) because they rarely perch and spend most of their time in flight where they are too fast for the human eye to read any mark (Corbet, 1999). With such conventional visual observations only, resighting rates are typically low. To overcome this, one potential tool that does not require the physical capture of individuals is high-speed video (HSV), where video footage is recorded with a high enough frame rate that, when slowed down, enables identification of an individual via markings on their wings (Li et al., 2018).

HSV has been used in the study of animal behavior, particularly during the last few decades. Whether it is flying, running, swimming, feeding, or drinking, high-speed videos have enabled scientists to examine diverse behaviors of various taxa meticulously (Altshuler et al., 2004; Maie et al., 2009; Marras et al., 2015; Reis et al., 2010). The rapid recent uptake of this technology in ecology is due to the substantial decrease in the cost of such cameras, along with reductions in camera size and weight and improvements in video duration and quality (Garbin, 2018; Lailvaux, 2018; Steen, 2014). Although HSVs have been used to study various aspects of animal behavior, the utility of HSV in CMR studies of highly mobile animals has not been tested.

Here, we assess how HSV can contribute to the resighting of marked individuals and ask whether such a method can improve

estimates of demographic parameters. Using populations at semi-natural ponds, we carried out CMR on two large North American dragonflies, *Anax junius* and *Rhionaeschna multicolor*, and then used the Cormack–Jolly–Seber model to compare the resighting and survival rate of the capture history generated by the conventional visual method (CV: direct observation with the naked eye) to that generated by combining CV with high-speed video (CV + HSV). Further, we tested whether HSV improves our ability to estimate the effect sizes of covariates that might impact survival, such as body size and age.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS


2.1 | Study site

The study was conducted in the experimental ponds of the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada (49°14'56" N, 123°14'02" W). The site has a total of 20 seminatural ponds, each of dimensions 15 × 25 m², arrayed across an area of 130 × 100 m². The two dominant species we studied, *Anax junius* and *Rhionaeschna multicolor*, are among the largest and highly mobile dragonflies in North America (Paulson, 2009).

2.2 | Field sampling

We carried out daily CMR between 6th June and 24th July 2020 from 11:00 to 17:00 by capturing mature individuals with hand nets and marking them with a permanent marker on the hind wing. No visit was carried out on days with bad weather, because dragonflies are not active (number of days = 12). Two observers walked across the study site to capture unmarked individuals and recover tags. Individuals were mostly captured on flight, but also in copula or oviposition. Individuals were immobilized by holding their wings, which reduces the risk of wing damage during marking and measuring. To release marked individuals, we gently placed them on a plant support and they typically took off shortly after. We used the number of days since first capture as a measure of age. To obtain an estimate of body size, hind wing length was measured with an electronic caliper to the nearest 0.01 mm. The detection of whether an individual was marked or not was easy, but identifying the code on flight (the most common activity) was often not possible. We used two resighting methods: (1) conventional observations with the naked eyes (CV), and (2) high-speed videos using the Sony RX10 Mark4 (cost: ~1,700 USD) with 250 fps high-frame-rate mode, which allows slowing the motion by 8–10 times. The HSV camera does not require the user to have an eye on the viewfinder. The videos were later visualized for identifying the individual code (Figure 1). We asked how the use of a high-speed camera increases the number of resightings relative to conventional observations alone, and, further, whether inclusion of camera data can improve estimates of survival and accompanying covariates (age and body size).

FIGURE 1 Still images taken from high-speed videos showing the mark on the hind wing of the two studied dragonflies. (a) *Anax junius* and (b) *Rhionaeshna multicolor*. These marks on the wing are typically not detectable to the naked human eye when these dragonflies are in flight



2.3 | Important sampling notes

It is important to point out that most CV observations were made when the species were perched (rare behavior in reproductive sites), in copulation or oviposition, thus detectable with the naked eye. In these cases, it was not necessary to use HSV as the individual was already recorded with CV. HSV was typically used when individuals were in flight and nearly impossible to identify with the naked eye. Thus, observations acquired with CV and HSV cover different behaviors and rarely overlap. If an individual was observed with both CV and HSV on the same day, the HSV observation was discarded since it was redundant. Instead of comparing CV with HSV, we are interested here in assessing how HSV can *supplement* data that could not be observed with CV and how this potential increase in the resolution of data might improve demographic estimates. To accomplish this goal, we compare CV with CV + HSV.

2.4 | CMR Data

For each species, we constructed two types of binary capture histories for each individual. The first involved only conventional observations, collected with the naked eye (CV dataset), whereas the second included both the conventional and high-speed video observations (CV + HSV dataset). Both datasets involved 39 time occasions (days) and the same number of marked individuals: a total of 107 *A. junius* (74 males and 33 females) and 152 *R. multicolor* (96 males and 56 females).

2.5 | Cormack–Jolly–Seber model (CJS)

CJS model is a powerful tool to estimate the survival rate of marked individuals using live encounter data (Lebreton et al., 1992). The model accounts for imperfect detection, gives estimates of the survival and resighting probabilities, and tests how the latter are determined by intrinsic factors such as age, body size, and extrinsic factors such as the weather (Kéry & Schaub, 2012). In odonates, many studies have used CJS to estimate rates of recapture and survival (Cordero–Rivera et al., 2019; Khelifa et al., 2019; Macagno et al., 2008; Minot et al., 2020; Outomuro et al., 2016; Stoks, 2001b).

We implemented the CJS model using the individual state-space formulation as described by Gimenez et al., (2007). In a survey involving n individuals and T encounter occasions, we first define the observations, then the states of the individual. We define $X_{i,t}$ as the binary random variable which is equal to 0 if the individual i is dead at time t and equal to 1 if the individual i is alive at time t . We then define $Y_{i,t}$ as the binary random variable which is equal to 0 if the individual i is not encountered at time t and equal to 1 if the individual i is encountered at time t . The parameters involved in the likelihood are $\Phi_{i,t}$ which is the probability that the individual i survives to time occasion $t + 1$ given that it is alive at time occasion t ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T-1$), and $p_{i,t}$ which is the probability of recapturing (resighting) individual i at time occasion t ($t = 2, \dots, T$). The initial occasion where individual i is observed is denoted e_i . The general state-space formulation of the CJS model includes the observation Equation (1) and the state Equation (2) where $t \geq e_i$ and $p_{i,e_i} = 1$.

$$Y_{i,t} | X_{i,t} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(X_{i,t} p_{i,t}) \quad (1)$$

$$X_{i,t+1} | X_{i,t} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(X_{i,t} \Phi_{i,t}) \quad (2)$$

This approach disentangles the actual demographic process from its observation in the field. The model works such that when individual i is alive at time t , it has probability $p_{i,t}$ of being recorded and probability $1-p_{i,t}$ of not being recorded, which translates into $Y_{i,t}$, which follows a Bernoulli distribution with success parameter equal to the product of $p_{i,t}$ and $X_{i,t}$. If the individual is not alive ($X_{i,t} = 0$), it cannot be detected because $X_{i,t} \times (p_{i,t}) = 0$, and if it is alive ($X_{i,t} = 1$), it is detected with probability $X_{i,t} \times (p_{i,t}) = 1 \times (p_{i,t}) = p_{i,t}$. The implementation of the model was carried out with JAGS using MCMC technique (Brooks et al., 2000; Gimenez et al., 2009).

All statistical analyses were carried out using R 3.4.0 (R Development Core Team, 2020). We implemented the MCMC algorithm using JAGS software (Plummer, 2003) within R. Specifically, we used the following packages: rjags (Plummer, 2019), R2jags (Su & Yajima, 2020), and runjags (Denwood, 2016). We specified non-informative prior distributions for all parameters. Specifically, we used flat normal distributions for priors (dnorm(mean = 0, precision = 0.01)) for both survival and resighting probabilities, as well as the intercept and slopes of covariates (age and wing length). We tested for the

effect of sex on resighting probability and effects of age and wing length on survival probability. We ran three independent chains, each for 10,000 iterations, omitting the first 1,000 as burn-in and thinning every 100 iteration to reduce autocorrelation. We report posterior means as point estimates and Bayesian credible intervals. The data and code of the models are made available on a Dryad repository.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Number of resightings

With CV observations, we resighted 28 (26.1%) marked *A. junius* and 25 (16.4%) marked *R. multicolor* at least once. With CV + HSV observations, we resighted 46 (43%) *A. junius* and 37 (24.3%) *R. multicolor* individuals, which correspond to proportional increases in recaptures of 64.2% and 48%, respectively.

3.2 | Resighting probability

The average resighting probability estimated with CJS model was higher with CV + HSV than with CV in both species. In CV and CV + HSV, respectively, resighting probability was 0.05 ± 0.01 and 0.19 ± 0.02 in *A. junius* and 0.05 ± 0.01 and 0.06 ± 0.01 in *R. multicolor*. The effect of sex on resighting probability was revealed with CV + HSV but not with CV in *A. junius*, showing higher resighting probabilities for males than females (Figure 2a). This sexual difference was not observed in *R. multicolor* (Figure 2b).

3.3 | Survival probability

We estimated a slight difference in the average survival rate when using CV versus CV + HSV in both species. In *A. junius*, using CV and CV + HSV, respectively, we estimated average survival probability to be 0.90 ± 0.02 and 0.87 ± 0.01 , and in *R. multicolor*, we estimated average survival probability to be 0.84 ± 0.02 and 0.88 ± 0.03 . Table 1

presents the survival estimates of males and females using CV and CV + HSV in both species.

The two methods showed differences in the pattern of survival probability across age and wing length. Both methods showed a slight increase in survival probability with age in *A. junius*, although the mean was slightly overestimated using CV (Figure 3a). However, different patterns were found in *R. multicolor* where CV showed a constant survival probability across age whereas CV + HSV showed a negative effect of age (Figure 3b). In *A. junius*, CV showed a positive effect of wing length on survival probability whereas CV + HSV showed the opposite pattern (Figure 4a). No significant effect of wing length on survival was detected for *R. multicolor* using both methods (Figure 4b).

3.4 | Credible intervals

In both species, CV + HSV produced estimates with smaller credible intervals for survival probability (Figures 3, 4). The credible intervals were 1.9 and 1.3 times smaller for the average resighting probability, and 2 and 1.3 times smaller for the average survival probability in *A. junius* and *R. multicolor*, respectively. Similar reduction of the credible intervals was observed in the analysis of the effect of age (Figure 3) and wing length (Figure 4) on survival probability.

4 | DISCUSSION

Our study demonstrates that the use of a high-speed camera increases the number of resightings and improves certainty of estimates of demographic parameters. Our analyses showed that HSV provided additional data that increased the number of resightings in our CMR data, improved estimates of resighting and survival probabilities, and reduced the uncertainty around the effect sizes of important covariates such as age and body size. Such improvements can make a big difference in the monitoring of survival rates, recruitment, or population size of wild populations. These findings highlight the importance of integrating HSV in CMR studies as an




FIGURE 2 Resighting probabilities of females and males of the two dragonfly species monitored during capture-mark-recapture using conventional observations (CV) and integrating high-speed videos (CV + HSV). (a) *Anax junius*. (b) *Rhinoceros*. Error bars are the 95% credible intervals

TABLE 1 Survival estimates of female and male *Anax junius* and *Rhionaeschna multicolor*. Estimates were derived from a Bayesian Cormack–Jolly–Seber model

Species	Sex	Method	Mean survival	LCI	UCI	Rhat
<i>Anax junius</i>	Female	CV + HSV	0.928	0.849	0.977	1.009
<i>Anax junius</i>	Female	CV	0.986	0.860	0.99	1.004
<i>Anax junius</i>	Male	CV + HSV	0.883	0.844	0.917	1.000
<i>Anax junius</i>	Male	CV	0.931	0.816	0.999	1.005
<i>Rhionaeschna multicolor</i>	Female	CV + HSV	0.831	0.731	0.913	1.002
<i>Rhionaeschna multicolor</i>	Female	CV	0.845	0.733	0.932	1.001
<i>Rhionaeschna multicolor</i>	Male	CV + HSV	0.975	0.866	1.00	1.023
<i>Rhionaeschna multicolor</i>	Male	CV	0.907	0.750	0.998	1.036

FIGURE 3 The effect of age on the survival probability of the two dragonfly species monitored during capture–mark–recapture using conventional observations (CV: blue) and integrating high-speed videos (CV + HSV: red). (a) *Anax junius*. (b) *Rhionaeschna multicolor*. Error bars are the 95% credible intervals





FIGURE 4 The effect of wing length on the survival probability of the two dragonfly species monitored during capture–mark–recapture using conventional observations (CV: blue) and integrating high-speed videos (CV + HSV: red). (a) *Anax junius*. (b) *Rhionaeschna multicolor*. Error bars are the 95% credible intervals



easy noninvasive tool to track wild populations of various animals including those of conservation concern. Such integration could potentially enable new applications of findings from population dynamic surveys of insects, as well as allowing for the potential study of fast and highly mobile animals.

4.1 | Implications of improving resighting estimates

Understanding the reason for the nondetection of species is crucial to make behavioral and ecological inferences. In our study, HSV increased the resighting rate substantially in *A. junius* but not *R. multicolor*. This means that in the former species the marked individuals were present at the site but the observers were not able to detect them with the naked eye (false negative), whereas in the latter species the low resighting rate under both CV and CV + HSV

was likely due to the absence of the individuals at the site. This interspecific difference in resighting rate is probably due to the difference in adult behavior where *R. multicolor* might be more dispersive than *A. junius* (Conrad et al., 1999). Furthermore, our analysis of *A. junius* capture history showed that HSV might reveal sex-specific differences in resighting rates that could not be detected by CV. This discrepancy may lead to erroneous inferences about species behavior and the dismissal of sexual differences in species movement and habitat use (Fujiwara et al., 2006; Stoks, 2001a). Moreover, exhaustive estimates of resighting rates may provide more power to detect traits that drive reproductive success, in particular, and evolution, in general. In many insects, single individuals rarely land in breeding sites, whereas breeding pairs perch to copulate and lay eggs. This behavioral difference between breeding and nonbreeding individuals poses a challenge for researchers interested in characterizing the fitness landscape and identifying how

trait values correlate with fitness. Also, since resighting rate is an important component in demographic models, enhancing the estimation of resighting rates may lead to a more accurate evaluation of population size (Tufto et al., 2012), and ultimately to more effective management of wild populations (Hamill & Clements, 2020). Therefore, the improvement of our estimates of resighting rates by HSV might resolve many issues when addressing ecological, conservation, and evolutionary questions.

4.2 | Implications of improving survival estimates

Data on survival probability are crucial for demographic modeling (Carey, 1993). The estimated survival probability in *A. junius* was similar to that reported for the congeneric *A. imperator* surveyed with conventional capture–mark–recapture in Northwestern France (Minot et al., 2020). Our results show that HSV improved the estimates of survival probability in both species. Such an improvement might increase the reliability of our predictions of population trends (Carey, 2001). Many scientists are interested in the effect of age on survival to investigate evolutionary theories related to senescence (Bonduriansky & Brassil, 2002; Sherratt et al., 2010, 2011; Zajitschek et al., 2020). While this is theoretically feasible with CMR of wild population of insects, field studies based on the naked eye yield low-resolution data (low resighting rates) which prevents accurate predictions. In our study, we showed that HSV reduced the uncertainty around survival estimates across age and made the age-dependent survival pattern more detectable. Similarly, HSV improved our estimates of body size effects on survival probability. This is particularly important because body size has been the focus of many studies in evolutionary biology and ecology (Blanckenhorn, 2000; LaBarbera, 1989; Ozgul et al., 2014).

5 | CONCLUSION

We believe that HSV could be a valuable noninvasive, economic, and practical tool in CMR. The advantages of using HSV include improving data quality, minimizing the physical damage to the study species, reducing sampling efforts, and saving money. Another advantage of HSV is that it can capture image of multiple marked flying individuals at the same time, whereas human vision can focus only on a single target. The integration of HSV in CMR is timely because there have been remarkable technological advances in high-speed video capabilities during the past decade, with new cameras now able to capture high-quality HSV, longer videos, lenses with better telephoto range, and processors that can handle recordings rapidly. Digital cameras have high-frame-rate video modes at reasonably low costs (Steen, 2014). HSV could also be used in CMR of highly mobile birds and mammals. Future methodological integration of high-speed camera might be in camera-trapping-based studies (Rico-Guevara & Mickley, 2017) to investigate demographic parameters, dispersal, home range, and behavior.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dolph Schluter for giving access to the UBC experimental ponds. We also thank Gaurav Singh-Varma for assistance in the field. This work is funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (P400PB_191139) and the Work-Learn International Undergraduate Assistance program.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests, financial or otherwise, to declare.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RK and HM did the fieldwork. RK and LM analyzed the data. RK conceived the idea and led the writing of the paper with contribution from all authors who gave final approval for publication.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The full dataset and R code are deposited in Dryad repository: <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.t76hdr80f>

REFERENCES

- Altshuler, D. L., Dudley, R., & McGuire, J. A. (2004). Resolution of a paradox: Hummingbird flight at high elevation does not come without a cost. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(51), 17731–17736. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0405260101>
- Amstrup, S. C., McDonald, T. L., & Manly, B. F. (2010). *Handbook of capture-recapture analysis*. Princeton University Press.
- Blanckenhorn, W. U. (2000). The evolution of body size: What keeps organisms small? *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 75(4), 385–407. <https://doi.org/10.1086/393620>
- Bonduriansky, R. & Brassil, C. E. (2002). Rapid and costly ageing in wild male flies. *Nature*, 420(6914), 377. <https://doi.org/10.1038/420377a>
- Brooks, S. P., Catchpole, E. A., & Morgan, B. J. (2000). Bayesian animal survival estimation. *Statistical Science*, 15(4), 357–376. <https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1009213003>
- Carey, J. R. (1993). *Applied demography for biologists: With special emphasis on insects*. Oxford University Press.
- Carey, J. R. (2001). Insect biodemography. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 46(1), 79–110. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.46.1.79>
- Conn, P. B. & Cooch, E. G. (2009). Multistate capture–recapture analysis under imperfect state observation: An application to disease models. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 46(2), 486–492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01597.x>
- Conrad, K., Willson, K., Harvey, I., Thomas, C., & Sherratt, T. (1999). Dispersal characteristics of seven odonate species in an agricultural landscape. *Ecography*, 22(5), 524–531. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.1999.tb01282.x>
- Corbet, P. S. (1999). *Dragonflies: Behaviour and ecology of Odonata*. Harley Books.
- Cordero, A., Perez, F. E., & Andres, J. (2002). The effect of handling damage, mobility, body size, and fluctuating asymmetry on lifetime mating success of *Ischnura graellsii* (Rambur) (Zygoptera: Coenagrionidae). *Odonatologica*, 31(2), 117–128.
- Cordero, A., Utzeri, C., & Carbone, S. S. (1999). Emergence and adult behaviour of *Macromia splendens* (Pictet) in Galicia, northwestern Spain (Anisoptera: Corduliidae). *Odonatologica*, 28(4), 333–342.
- Cordero-Rivera, A., Sanmartín-Villar, I., Herrera, M. S., Rivas-Torres, A., & Encalada, A. (2019). Survival and longevity in neotropical damselflies (Odonata, Polythoridae). *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 42(2), 293–300. <https://doi.org/10.32800/abc.2019.42.0293>

- Cordero-Rivera, A. & Stoks, R. (2008). Mark-recapture studies and demography. In A. Córdoba-Aguilar (Ed.), *Dragonflies and damselflies: Model organisms for ecological and evolutionary research* (pp. 7–20). Oxford University Press.
- Daniel Kissling, W., Pattermore, D. E., & Hagen, M. (2014). Challenges and prospects in the telemetry of insects. *Biological Reviews*, 89(3), 511–530. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12065>
- Denwood, M. J. (2016). runjags: An R package providing interface utilities, model templates, parallel computing methods and additional distributions for MCMC models in JAGS. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 71(9), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.18637/JSS.V071.I09>
- Dickinson, M. H., Lehmann, F.-O., & Sane, S. P. (1999). Wing rotation and the aerodynamic basis of insect flight. *Science*, 284(5422), 1954–1960. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5422.1954>
- Fujiwara, M., Anderson, K. E., Neubert, M. G., & Caswell, H. (2006). On the estimation of dispersal kernels from individual mark-recapture data. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, 13(2), 183. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10651-005-0007-z>
- Garbin, V. (2018). *The micro-world observed by ultra high-speed cameras*. Springer.
- Gimenez, O., Bonner, S. J., King, R., Parker, R. A., Brooks, S. P., Jamieson, L. E., Grosbois, V., Morgan, B. J. T., & Thomas, L. (2009). WinBUGS for population ecologists: Bayesian modeling using Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods. In *Modeling demographic processes in marked populations* (pp. 883–915). Springer.
- Gimenez, O., Rossi, V., Choquet, R., Dehais, C., Doris, B., Varella, H., Vila, J.-P., & Pradel, R. (2007). State-space modelling of data on marked individuals. *Ecological Modelling*, 206, 431–438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.03.040>
- Hagler, J. R. & Jackson, C. G. (2001). Methods for marking insects: Current techniques and future prospects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 46(1), 511–543. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.46.1.511>
- Hammill, E. & Clements, C. F. (2020). Imperfect detection alters the outcome of management strategies for protected areas. *Ecology Letters*, 23(4), 682–691. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13475>
- Hassall, C., Sherratt, T. N., Watts, P. C., & Thompson, D. J. (2015). Live fast, die old: No evidence of reproductive senescence or costs of mating in a damselfly (Odonata: Zygoptera). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 84(6), 1542–1554. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12407>
- Jacobs, M. E. (1955). Studies on territorialism and sexual selection in dragonflies. *Ecology*, 36(4), 566–586. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1931296>
- Kéry, M. & Juillerat, L. (2004). Sex ratio estimation and survival analysis for *Orthetrum coerulescens* (Odonata, Libellulidae). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 82(3), 399–406.
- Kéry, M. & Schaub, M. (2012). *Bayesian population analysis using WinBUGS: A hierarchical perspective*. Academic Press.
- Khelifa, R., Zebba, R., Amari, H., Mellal, M. K., & Mahdjoub, H. (2019). Field estimates of fitness costs of the pace-of-life in an endangered damselfly. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 32, 943–954. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13493>
- Khelifa, R., Zebba, R., Amari, H., Mellal, M. K., Mahdjoub, H., & Kahalerras, A. (2016). A hotspot for threatened Mediterranean odonates in the Seybouse River (Northeast Algeria): Are IUCN population sizes drastically underestimated? *International Journal of Odonatology*, 19(1–2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13887890.2015.1133331>
- LaBarbera, M. (1989). Analyzing body size as a factor in ecology and evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 20(1), 97–117. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.20.110189.000525>
- Lailvaux, S. (2018). *Feats of strength: How evolution shapes animal athletic abilities*. Yale University Press.
- Lebreton, J.-D., Burnham, K. P., Clobert, J., & Anderson, D. R. (1992). Modeling survival and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals: A unified approach with case studies. *Ecological Monographs*, 62(1), 67–118. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2937171>
- Lettink, M. & Armstrong, D. P. (2003). An introduction to using mark-recapture analysis for monitoring threatened species. *Department of Conservation Technical Series A*, 28, 5–32.
- Li, Q., Zheng, M., Pan, T., & Su, G. (2018). Experimental and numerical investigation on dragonfly wing and body motion during voluntary take-off. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1011. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19237-w>
- Macagno, A. L., Boano, G., Palestrini, C., Stassi, M., & Rolando, A. (2008). Movement and demographics of *Libellula fulva* (Odonata, Libellulidae). *Environmental Entomology*, 37(5), 1145–1153.
- Maie, T., Wilson, M. P., Schoenfuss, H. L., & Blob, R. W. (2009). Feeding kinematics and performance of Hawaiian stream gobies, *Awaous guamensis* and *Lentipes concolor*: Linkage of functional morphology and ecology. *Journal of Morphology*, 270(3), 344–356. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.10695>
- Marras, S., Noda, T., Steffensen, J. F., Svendsen, M. B. S., Krause, J., Wilson, A. D. M., Kurvers, R., Herbert-Read, J., Boswell, K., & Domenici, P. (2015). Not so fast: Swimming behavior of sailfish during predator-prey interactions using high-speed video and accelerometry. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 55(4), 719–727. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icv017>
- Martins, E. G., Hinch, S. G., Patterson, D. A., Hague, M. J., Cooke, S. J., Miller, K. M., Lapointe, M. F., English, K. K., & Farrell, A. P. (2011). Effects of river temperature and climate warming on stock-specific survival of adult migrating Fraser River sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). *Global Change Biology*, 17(1), 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02241.x>
- McCrea, R. S. & Morgan, B. J. (2014). *Analysis of capture-recapture data*. CRC Press.
- Minot, M., Besnard, A., & Husté, A. (2020). Habitat use and movements of a large dragonfly (Odonata: *Anax imperator*) in a pond network. *Freshwater Biology*, 66(2), 241–255.
- Mollet, P., Kéry, M., Gardner, B., Pasinelli, G., & Royle, J. A. (2015). Estimating population size for capercaillie (*Tetrao urogallus* L.) with spatial capture-recapture models based on genotypes from one field sample. *PLoS One*, 10(6), e0129020.
- Morton, A. (1982). The effects of marking and capture on recapture frequencies of butterflies. *Oecologia*, 53(1), 105–110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00377143>
- Muijres, F. T., Johansson, L. C., Bowlin, M. S., Winter, Y., & Hedenström, A. (2012). Comparing aerodynamic efficiency in birds and bats suggests better flight performance in birds. *PLoS One*, 7(5), e37335. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037335>
- Outomuro, D., Söderquist, L., Nilsson-Örtman, V., Cortázar-Chinarro, M., Lundgren, C., & Johansson, F. (2016). Antagonistic natural and sexual selection on wing shape in a scrambling damselfly. *Evolution*, 70(7), 1582–1595. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12951>
- Ozgul, A., Bateman, A. W., English, S., Coulson, T., & Clutton-Brock, T. H. (2014). Linking body mass and group dynamics in an obligate cooperative breeder. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 83(6), 1357–1366. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12239>
- Paulson, D. (2009). *Dragonflies and damselflies of the West*. Princeton University Press.
- Plummer, M. (2003). JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs sampling. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 3rd international workshop on distributed statistical computing.
- Plummer, M. (2019). rjags: Bayesian graphical models using MCMC. R package version 4-10. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rjags>
- Pradel, R. (1996). Utilization of capture-mark-recapture for the study of recruitment and population growth rate. *Biometrics*, 52, 703–709. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2532908>
- R Development Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

- Reis, P. M., Jung, S., Aristoff, J. M., & Stocker, R. (2010). How cats lap: Water uptake by *Felis catus*. *Science*, 330(6008), 1231–1234. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1195421>
- Rico-Guevara, A. & Mickley, J. (2017). Bring your own camera to the trap: An inexpensive, versatile, and portable triggering system tested on wild hummingbirds. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(13), 4592–4598. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3040>
- Rose, J. P., Wylie, G. D., Casazza, M. L., & Halstead, B. J. (2018). Integrating growth and capture–mark–recapture models reveals size-dependent survival in an elusive species. *Ecosphere*, 9(8), e02384. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2384>
- Schtickzelle, N., Baguette, M., & Le Boulengé, É. (2003). Modelling insect demography from capture–recapture data: Comparison between the constrained linear models and the Jolly-Seber analytical method. *The Canadian Entomologist*, 135(2), 313–323. <https://doi.org/10.4039/n02-045>
- Service, M. W. (1993). Mark-recapture techniques and adult dispersal. In *Mosquito ecology: Field sampling methods* (pp. 652–751). Springer.
- Sherratt, T. N., Hassall, C., Laird, R. A., Thompson, D. J., & Cordero-Rivera, A. (2011). A comparative analysis of senescence in adult damselflies and dragonflies (Odonata). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 24(4), 810–822. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02222.x>
- Sherratt, T. N., Laird, R. A., Hassall, C., Lowe, C. D., Harvey, I. F., Watts, P. C., Cordero-Rivera, A., & Thompson, D. J. (2010). Empirical evidence of senescence in adult damselflies (Odonata: Zygoptera). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 79, 1034–1044. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01719.x>
- Steen, R. (2014). The use of a low cost high speed camera to monitor wingbeat frequency in hummingbirds (Trochilidae). *Ardeola*, 61(1), 111–120. <https://doi.org/10.13157/arla.61.1.2014.111>
- Stoks, R. (2001a). Male-biased sex ratios in mature damselfly populations: Real or artefact? *Ecological Entomology*, 26(2), 181–187. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2311.2001.00301.x>
- Stoks, R. (2001b). What causes male-biased sex ratios in mature damselfly populations? *Ecological Entomology*, 26(2), 188–197. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2311.2001.00303.x>
- Su, Y.-S. & Yajima, M. (2020). R2jags: Using R to Run 'JAGS'. *R package version 0.6-1*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=R2jags>.
- Tufto, J., Lande, R., Ringsby, T.-H., Engen, S., Sæther, B.-E., Walla, T. R., & DeVries, P. J. (2012). Estimating Brownian motion dispersal rate, longevity and population density from spatially explicit mark–recapture data on tropical butterflies. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 81(4), 756–769. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2012.01963.x>
- Williams, B. K., Nichols, J. D., & Conroy, M. J. (2002). *Analysis and management of animal populations*. Academic Press.
- Zajitschek, F., Zajitschek, S., & Bonduriansky, R. (2020). Senescence in wild insects: Key questions and challenges. *Functional Ecology*, 34(1), 26–37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13399>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Khelifa R, Mahdjoub H, M'Gonigle LK, Kremen C. Integrating high-speed videos in capture–mark–recapture studies of insects. *Ecol Evol*. 2021;11:6033–6040. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7372>