In the format provided by the authors and unedited.

# The impact of endothermy on the climatic niche evolution and the distribution of vertebrate diversity

Jonathan Rolland <sup>[]</sup><sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Daniele Silvestro <sup>[]</sup><sup>1,2,4,5</sup>, Dolph Schluter<sup>3</sup>, Antoine Guisan<sup>6,7</sup>, Olivier Broennimann<sup>6,7</sup> and Nicolas Salamin<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computational Biology, Biophore, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>2</sup>Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Quartier Sorge, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>3</sup>Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. <sup>4</sup>Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. <sup>5</sup>Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Gothenburg, Sweden. <sup>6</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>7</sup>Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, Geopolis, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. \*e-mail: jonathan.rolland@yahoo.fr

#### Supplementary methods and results

32

#### 33 Simulations of the ancestral states and quality of the estimates

34 We simulated a set of true ancestral states and we then tested whether our method could recover 35 accurately these states only with partial information (present day data and few/no fossils). These tests are described in details in the supplementary material of Silvestro et al. 2017<sup>52</sup> (the following 36 text has been modified from <sup>52</sup>): For each simulation, we generated a complete phylogenetic tree 37 38 (extinct and extant taxa) under a constant rate of birth-death with 100 extant tips (using the 39 sim.bd.taxa function with parameters: speciation rate ( $\lambda$ ) = 0.4, and extinction rate ( $\mu$ ) = 0.2, in the R package TreePar<sup>53</sup>). The number of fossils simulated on the tree was defined by a Poisson 40 41 distribution with expected number of occurrences set to 1, 5, and 20. Additional simulations were 42 also run without any fossils for comparison. The simulation under the model presented here correspond to a constant rate of evolution  $\sigma^2$  drawn from a gamma distribution  $\Gamma(2, 5)$ , and no 43 phenotypic trend ( $\mu 0 = 0$ ). We simulated 100 data sets under each scenario (i.e. number of fossils 44 45 = 0, 1, 5,and 20). We analyzed each simulated dataset to estimate the rate parameters of the Brownian Motion model ( $\sigma^2$ ) and the ancestral states. Each dataset was run for 500,000 MCMC 46 47 generations, sampling every 500 steps. We summarized the results in two ways. First, we numerically quantified the overall accuracy of the  $\sigma^2$  estimate across all simulations using the mean 48 49 absolute percentage error (MAPE):

$$MAPE_j(\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{|\hat{\sigma_i^2} - \sigma_i^2|}{\sigma_i^2} \right)$$

50

51 where *j* is the simulation number,  $\hat{\sigma_i^2}$  is the estimated rate at branch *i*, and  $\sigma_i^2$  is the true rate at 52 branch *i*, and *N* is the number of branches in the tree. Secondly, we calculated the coefficient of

53	determination $(R^2)$ between the true and the estimated ancestral states. These analyses showed that
54	our method is not biased (Supplementary Figure 8) and yields accurate estimations of ancestral
55	states (Supplementary Figure 9). Additional test on the performance of the method are described
56	in Silvestro et al. <sup>52</sup> . The code used to run these simulations is available at:
57	https://github.com/dsilvestro/fossilBM.
58	
59	Robustness of the results
60	
61	To verify that our results were not affected by methodological biases, we ran a series of robustness
62	tests.
63	1. Niche evolution estimates might be artificially high in mammals and birds because there
64	is more fossil data in these groups, or if the fossil record is biased (e.g if tropical
65	occurrences were less likely to be recorded in the fossil record). We ran all of the analyses
66	again without the fossils and found that niche evolution remained faster in
67	endotherms (Supplementary Figure 2). This latter result ensure that the main results of our
68	study will hold even if fossil occurrences might not reflect the true ancestral latitude of the
69	clades, and if fossils are misplaced on the tree (e.g. including changes in taxonomy between
70	the fossil record and the phylogeny).
71	2. Niche evolution estimates might be artificially high in mammals and birds because these
72	two groups have larger phylogenies. We ran all of the analyses again using pruned trees
73	for each group and found that niche evolution remained faster in endotherms
74	(Supplementary Figure 2).

Niche evolution estimates might be artificially low in amphibians and squamates because *they are older groups.* We tested for a relationship between the estimates of niche evolution
rates in the 20 main orders (in birds and mammals) or families (in amphibians and
squamates) and their age and did not observe a significant relationship (Supplementary
Figure 6), which suggests that our results are not biased in this respect.

80 4. Niche evolution estimates might be artificially high in mammals and birds because of our 81 evolution model. We tested whether similar niche evolution estimates could be obtained 82 when applying Brownian motion (BM) and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) models (using the 83 fitContinuous R function in the geiger package). We obtained mean temperatures for each 84 species for which we had occurrence data points (GBIF), spatial distribution data (IUCN) 85 and mean annual temperature climatic layer data (BIO1, WorldClim), and we estimated 86 the rate of temperature evolution along the phylogenies for the four groups. These results, 87 which do not include fossil information, confirmed our previous results in which 88 endotherms were shown to have a faster niche evolution rate (Supplementary Table 1).

89 5. Niche evolution estimates might be artificially high in birds because of migratory behavior. 90 It is difficult to describe with confidence the distribution of migratory species, as their 91 occupancy area change across seasons. For birds, we estimated the niche evolution rate 92 based on the mean annual temperatures of both the breeding and wintering ranges (the 93 global distribution of each species without accounting for seasonal changes). This 94 simplification might affect our niche evolution reconstruction and we might have underestimated the mean temperatures of migratory species; thus, we may have artificially 95 96 inflated the rate of niche evolution in birds. To test for this potential bias, we re-estimated 97 the rate of niche evolution using a BM model (using the *fitContinuous* R function in the

98 geiger package) that did not include fossil data but did include a phylogeny that only 99 contained sedentary species as well as the mean temperatures obtained for each species. 100 We also obtained migratory bird status data using the BirdLife International and 101 NatureServe databases (http://www.birdlife.org/). Following the method described in Somveille et al.<sup>54</sup>, we considered a species to be migratory if it has at least one non-102 breeding season polygon or one breeding season polygon (see Rolland et al.<sup>55</sup> for more 103 104 details). We found migratory data for 6,142 of the species in our dataset, and we removed 105 1,387 migratory species, thus retaining a total of 4,755 sedentary species. We obtained 106 comparable BM estimates between the sedentary birds (e.g., BM  $\sigma = 9.05$ ) and all birds 107 (e.g., BM  $\sigma_2$ =14.84, Supplementary Table 1), suggesting that potential bias caused by using 108 mean annual temperatures does not affect our results and indicating that niche evolution 109 remains higher in birds than in the three other groups (Mammals BM  $\sigma_2$ =2.92, Amphibians 110 BM  $\sigma_2$ =1.01 and Squamates BM  $\sigma_2$ =0.65, Table S1).

111 6. *GBIF occurrence data points might be unevenly distributed inside the IUCN polygons and* 112 lead to biased values of altitude, latitude and temperature. If the occurrence points inside 113 the polygons were geographically (or environmentally) clustered, we would expect to see 114 a mismatch between data extracted from polygons alone and data extracted from 115 occurrences points inside the polygons. We thus extracted the latitude, altitude and 116 temperature values for each species from polygons alone and we then tested if there was a 117 considerable difference with the same data obtained from occurrences inside the polygons. 118 We found no systematic bias in the values extracted with both approaches and an extremely high association between these variables (for latitude:  $R_{birds}^2 = 0.88$ ,  $R_{mammals}^2 = 0.97$ , 119 120  $R_{amphibians}^2 = 0.99, R_{source}^2 = 0.99;$  for altitude:  $R_{birds}^2 = 0.78, R_{mammals}^2 = 0.8, R_{amphibians}^2 = 0.86,$ 

121 $R^2_{squamata} = 0.83$ ; and for temperature:  $R^2_{birds} = 0.82$ ,  $R^2_{mammals} = 0.91$ ,  $R^2_{amphibians} = 0.91$ ,122 $R^2_{squamata} = 0.91$ ; P<10<sup>-16</sup> for all groups). These results suggest that occurrences data are not123strongly biased and represent accurately the variation of ecological conditions contained124inside polygons.

- 125 7. The temperature curve used in our study might be biased in the Neogene due to the 126 presence of ice volumes. Our paleo-temperature curve is based on the deep-sea benthic for a miniferal oxygen-isotope  $\delta^{18}$ O (Zachos *et al.*<sup>19</sup>). These estimates conflate temperature 127 128 and ice volume, which may be problematic when comparing greenhouse Eocene and 129 icehouse Neogene. We now run the analyses with two other Cenozoic curves from Cramer et al. 2011<sup>56</sup> (based on formulas 7a and 7b in the supplementary information of the study) 130 131 that are based on the ratio Mg/Ca for the Cenozoic. In order to cover the 270 Myr of our 132 study, we did not change the temperature curve before 62.4 Myr, and the Cramer et al. 2011 <sup>56</sup> curves were used after 62.4 Myr (we ran two independent analyses for the two 133 134 curves). We found no effect of these new curves on our results with faster rate of niche 135 evolution in birds and mammals compared to squamates and amphibians (birds= 0.86 or 0.64°C/Myr, respectively for the formulas 7a or 7b of Cramer et al. 2011<sup>56</sup>, mammals= 136 0.70 or  $0.52^{\circ}$ C/Myr, amphibians= 0.37 or 0.31 °C/Myr, squamates= 0.40 or  $0.33^{\circ}$ C/Myr. 137 138 8. We also tested whether branches leading to nodes or tips informed by fossil or present-day 139 data were giving the same results as the whole phylogeny. This test permitted to test the 140 robustness of our phylogenetic approach. We found the same results with only branches
- 142 evolution for endotherms than ectotherms (mean rate of niche evolution birds=

related to nodes informed by fossil or tips informed by field data, with higher rate of niche

143		2.09°C/Myr, mammals= 2.37°C/Myr, amphibians= 0.56°C/Myr, squamates=
144		0.61°C/Myr).
145		
146	Suppl	ementary references
147	52.	Silvestro D., Tejedor M. F., Serrano-Serrano M. L., Loiseau O., Rossier V., Rolland J.,
148		Zizka A., Antonelli A. & Salamin N. Evolutionary history of New World monkeys
149		revealed by molecular and fossil data. BioRxiv (2017) (available online at
150		http://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/08/18/178111.article-metrics).
151	53.	Stadler, T. Mammalian phylogeny reveals recent diversification rate shifts. Proc. Natl.
152		Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 6187-6192 (2011).
153	54.	Somveille, M., Manica, A., Butchart, S. H. & Rodrigues, A. S. Mapping global diversity
154		patterns for migratory birds. PLOS ONE 8, e70907 (2013).
155	55.	Rolland, J., Jiguet, F., Jønsson, K. A., Condamine, F. L. & Morlon, H. Settling down of
156		seasonal migrants promotes bird diversification. Proc. Biol. Sci. 281, 20140473 (2014).
157	56.	Cramer, B. S., Miller, K. G., Barrett, P. J., & Wright, J. D. Late Cretaceous-Neogene trends
158		in deep ocean temperature and continental ice volume: Reconciling records of benthic
159		for aminiferal geochemistry ( $\delta^{18}$ O and Mg/Ca) with sea level history. J. Geophys. Res
160		Oceans. 116. (2011).
161		
162		
163		
164		
165		



167 Supplementary Figure 1. Bayesian inference of ancestral states using a fossil-calibrated 168 Brownian motion model of evolution. The example shows an ultrametric tree with trait values 169 indicated by the size of the circles at the tips and at the internal nodes. The ancestral state of any 170 given internal node *i* is sampled directly from its joint posterior distribution through Gibbs 171 sampling. The joint posterior distribution of node *i* is a normal distribution obtained by combining four normal distributions: three from the expectation of the Brownian motion with rate parameter 172  $\sigma^2$  (ancestral node in dark blue and the two descendant values in purple and light blue) and one for 173 174 the prior assigned to the node (in red). The prior is either informative, i.e. when defined based on fossil data, or non-informative with an arbitrary large variance if fossils are not available (see 175 176 "Fossils" and "Ancestral reconstruction of latitude and altitude" sections for more details).



Number of terminal branches in the phylogeny

178 Supplementary Figure 2. Robustness analysis. Niche evolution remains faster in endotherms 179 even when trees are pruned and fossil data are removed. The niche evolution of each group 180 was estimated based on four reconstructions: minimum and maximum latitude and minimum and 181 maximum altitude. These four analyses were run on trees of five different sizes for birds (3000, 1500, 1000, 500 and 100 tips), four different sizes for mammals (1500, 1000, 500 and 100 tips), 182 three different sizes for amphibians (1000, 500 and 100 tips), and two different sizes for squamates 183 184 (500 and 100 tips). Each reconstruction was replicated five times for each tree size, for a total of 185 5 x 4 x 14=280 reconstructions. We designed a pruning algorithm to remove randomly clades 186 monophyletic from the original tree (code available at 187 https://github.com/jonathanrolland/niche evolution). This methodology allowed us to retain the 188 phylogenetic signal inside each group and was more conservative than randomly pruning 189 individual tips (rates of niche evolution were substantially higher when the tips were randomly 190 removed). In addition, to account for the potential effect of fossils in our results, we did not 191 consider fossil information in these robustness analyses.













- 195 of mean temperature, mean latitude and mean altitude than amphibian (green) and
- 196 squamate (blue) species. Violin plots were calculated using all of the species in each group.



198 Supplementary Figure 4. Median rate of niche evolution for the 20 richest orders of birds

199 (red) and mammals (orange) and the 20 richest families of amphibians (green) and 200 squamates (blue).



Supplementary Figure 5. Latitudinal diversity gradients of the groups that showed rapid
 niche evolution. The vertical red line corresponds to the equator.

- **•** •





Supplementary Figure 6. Robustness analysis. Niche evolution rates are not associated with the age of the clades (orders and families). The rate of niche evolution was estimated for the 20 richast orders of hirds (rad) and mammals (orange) and the 20 richast families of annthibiting

richest orders of birds (red) and mammals (orange) and the 20 richest families of amphibians (green) and squamates (blue). The black line represents the regression of the linear model between the rate of niche evolution and the age of the groups (P > 0.05)

- 216 the rate of niche evolution and the age of the groups (P > 0.05).
- 217
- 218
- 219
- 220
- --0
- 221
- 222
- 223
- 224



226 Supplementary Figure 7. Description of the procedure to obtain temperature for each node

- of the phylogeny from both the reconstructions of latitude and altitude and the climatic grid
   through time.



Supplementary Figure 8. Accuracy of parameter estimation summarized across 100 simulations. Mean absolute percentage errors (MAPE) are reported for the rate parameters ( $\sigma^2$ , panel A), and the coefficient of determination is used for ancestral states ( $R^2$ , panel B, modified 

from Figure S2 of Silvestro *et al.* 2017<sup>52</sup>). 



Supplementary Figure 9. Accuracy of parameter estimation summarized across 100 simulations with decreasing number of fossils: 20, 5, 1, and 0. Mean absolute percentage errors (MAPE) are reported for the rate parameters ( $\sigma^2$ , panel A), and the coefficient of determination  $R^2$ is used for ancestral states ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ , panel B). (modified from Figure S3 of Silvestro *et al.* 2017<sup>52</sup>). 



Supplementary Figure 10. Distribution of the nodes calibrated using fossil information (red points) on the phylogenies of the four studied groups. 2663 fossil occurrences were used to calibrate 239 of the 6141 nodes present in the birds phylogeny, 21767 fossil occurrences were used to calibrate 473 or the 2921 nodes of the mammals phylogeny, 908 occurrences were used to calibrate 48 of the 1413 nodes in amphibian phylogeny and 476 occurrences permitted to calibrate 37 of the 986 nodes in squamates phylogeny, the rest of the nodes in the phylogenies had flat priors. We also provided in Table S2-S4 the number of fossils that permitted to calibrate each node.



Supplementary Figure 11. Robustness analysis. The pattern of the dispersal of the species distributed at high latitudes towards the equator (presented in the figure 3D) is robust when we considered only the lineages that disperse more than 1°, 2°, 5° or 10° latitude.

298 Supplementary Table 1. Robustness analysis. Niche evolution rates remain higher in birds 299 and mammals when estimated based on Brownian motion (BM) and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck 300 (OU) models and when the present time mean annual temperatures are used for each species 301 (BIO1 from WorldClim). This analysis was performed using the *fitContinuous* function in the geiger R package. z0 corresponds to the ancestral value of temperature at the root of the tree 302 according to the BM process, and  $\alpha$  measures the strength of attraction of the OU process toward 303 304 the point of attraction  $\theta$ . Compared with previous analyses, ancestral temperatures were not 305 estimated using latitudinal and altitudinal reconstructions. This analysis did not include fossil data.

		Brownia	n motion			Ornstein–l	Jhlenbeck	
		AIC	σ2	z0	AIC	σ2	α	θ
	Birds	47361.6	14.84	19.47	39728.1	206.81	2.72	19.20
	Mammals	19018.6	2.92	18.87	18439.5	4.56	0.047	19.08
	Amphibians	9047.5	1.01	16.39	8601.2	1.61	0.024	17.69
	Squamates	5760.5	0.65	21.25	5600.5	1.08	0.022	20.97
306								
307								
200								
308								
309								
210								
310								
311								
210								
312								
313								
014								
314								
315								
316								
317								
318								
319								

## 320 Supplementary Table 2. Number of fossil occurrences used to inform the most recent

321 common node of each genus in the birds phylogeny.

		•					
Genus	Nb. of fossils used	-					
	to inform the node						
Aythya	38						
Anas	165	Perisoreus	3	Streptopelia	4	Gallirallus	3
Crinifer	1	Melospiza	6	Aegypius	2	Chenonetta	2
Nycticorax	8	Circus	5	Milvus	3	Pelecanoides	5
Pandion	10	Molothrus	6	Tadorna	9	Cyanoramphus	2
Haliaeetus	14	Cardinalis	4	Caprimulgus	2	Eudyptes	5
Meleagris	45	Zonotrichia	12	Oceanites	4	Coenocorypha	2
Spheniscus	18	Accipiter	25	Colius	4	Apteryx	2
Phalacrocorax	80	Melanerpes	15	Pachyptila	8	Megadyptes	3
Fulmarus	11	Passerina	1	Scopus	1	Hemiphaga	2
Sula	34	Junco	8	Leptoptilos	8	Anthornis	1
Buteo	70	Zenaida	21	Turnix	6	Thalassarche	2
Gavia	40	Colaptes	27	Passer	1	Petroica	1
Morus	29	Passerculus	4	Ploceus	2	Hymenolaimus	1
Puffinus	69	Tyto	33	Coturnix	13	Coracopsis	1
Diomedea	9	Passerella	2	Ephippiorhynchus	2	Leptopterus	1
Uria	21	Picoides	6	Hirundo	8	Foudia	1
Cepphus	6	Aphelocoma	4	Carduelis	6	Upupa	1
Oceanodroma	2	Podiceps	28	Gypaetus	1	Terpsiphone	1
Dromaius	10	Vermivora	2	Athene	11	Mirafra	1
Calonectris	6	Coragyps	16	Parus	3	Zosterops	1
Ortalis	4	Columba	24	Jabiru	4	Oreortyx	3
Tympanuchus	10	Bubo	33	Alauda	7	Loxia	3
Strix	17	Sturnella	11	Eremophila	8	Bombycilla	1
Geranoaetus	5	Coccyzus	4	Sturnus	11	Menura	1
Ardea	31	Glaucidium	4	Otis	4	Brachyramphus	2
Burhinus	5	Euphagus	6	Surnia	5	Rissa	3
Mycteria	10	Asio	20	Apus	3	Aegotheles	1
Grus	33	Dryocopus	4	Gallus	9	Collocalia	1
Aramus	5	Tachybaptus	2	Pyrrhocorax	11	Cinclosoma	2
Balearica	2	Hylocichla	2	Casuarius	1	Ptilonorhynchus	1
Anhinga	16	Ammodramus	3	Cerorhinca	6	Cacatua	2
Egretta	14	Buteogallus	3	Synthliboramphus	12	Anthochaera	2
Ardeola	1	Cvanocitta	12	Alca	25	Phaps	1
lacana	4	Porzana	21	Aethia	4	Dasvornis	5
Phoenicopterus	10	Turdus	46	Haematopus	7	Glossopsitta	
Fulica	27	Dumetella	2	Pluvialis	7	Platycercus	1
Bartramia	5	Chondestes	2	Bulweria	1	Phalaropus	5
Falco	62	Toxostoma	4	Fratercula	15	Neochen	1
Aquila	23	Catharus	3	Procellaria	2	Pagophila	1
Dtuchoramphuc		Enizolla	-	Storma	-		-
Assess	3	Spizella	10	Deserve			
wiergus	29	Calidris	15	Pterodroma	9		
Ceryle	1	Cathartes	16	Chioephaga	3		
Podilymbus	31	Aegolius	8	ĸnea	10		
corvus	82	Quiscalus	9	Nothura	2		
Agelaius	15	мса	10	Pygoscelis	4		
Kallus	38	кеcurvirostra	5	vultur	2		
AIX	8	Somateria	8	Sarcoramphus	2		
Bucephala	13	Pelecanus	9	Eudromia	2		
Limnodromus	6	Charadrius	12	Columbina	4		
Colinus	24	Cistothorus	3	Milvago	4		
Butorides	6	Caracara	17	Arenaria	4		
Ciconia	19	Pipilo	4	Crypturellus	1		
Gallinula	13	lcterus	2	Syrigma	1		
Spizaetus	4	Anser	24	Thinocorus	3		
Gymnogyps	18	Xanthocephalus	3	Theristicus	1		
Gallinago	7	Tachycineta	4	Alle	18		
Botaurus	8	Lophodytes	7	Dendragapus	5		
Laterallus	5	Numenius	13	Cyrtonyx	3		
Nyctanassa	6	Cygnus	17	Callipepla	1		
Tringa	13	Melanitta	12	Pavo	1		
Dendrocygna	8	Stercorarius	6	Sayornis	4		
Scolopax	14	Larus	36	Petrochelidon	2		
Ixobrychus	3	Aechmophorus	5	Contopus	2		
Eudocimus	12	Megaceryle	3	Sitta	5		
Branta	34	Dendrocopos	4	Phaethon	1		
Oxyura	3	Limosa	7	Carpodacus	3		
Plegadis	4	Struthio	72	Chaetura	2		
Otus	21	Francolinus	28	Pinicola	2		
Bonasa	12	Numida	14	Piranga	2		
		and the second se			_		

## 323 Supplementary Table 3. Number of fossil occurrences used to inform the most recent

## 324 common node of each genus in the mammals phylogeny.

		Arctonyx	9	Desmodus	16	Dasyprocta	6	Mystromys	21	Leopoldamys	3	Natalus	1
	Nb. of fossils used	Cuon	16	Pan	2	Marmosa	14	Malacothrix	7	Petromus	1	Mogera	-
Genus	to inform the node	Battus	34	Hydrochoerus	19	Tamandua	4	Sylvicanra	6	Gerbillurus	1	Pteromys	1
Ellobius	18	Fothenomys	2	Mormoons	5	Choloenus	3	Dendrohvrav	5	Lagostomus	28	Hylobates	1
Viverra	24	Pongo	16	Antidorcas	146	Myoprocta	2	Oryctolagus	58	Myocastor	15	Pseudois	1
Giraffa	252	Trachypithecus	7	Litocranius	3	Potos	3	Nesokia	7	Chaetophractus	16	Peroryctes	2
Lutra	42	Macropus	94	Aepyceros	146	Caluromys	4	Thamnomys	3	Zaedvus	12	Dasvuroides	1
Tapirus	246	Dasyurus	10	Damaliscus	131	Monodelphis	2	Miniopterus	21	Microcavia	10	Eligmodontia	2
Potamochoerus	60	Boselaphus	1	Connochaetes	158	Metachirus	4	Grammomys	1	Lestodelphys	7	Abrothrix	1
Moschus	9	Bison	263	Hippotragus	76	Ateles	3	Atelerix	3	Akodon	7	Neotomys	1
Muntiacus	34	Rangifer	76	Oryx	41	Chiropotes	1	Zelotomys	8	Oxymycterus	3	Capromys	3
Crocidura	145	Redunca	116	Kobus	321	Pithecia	1	Zenkerella	2	Reithrodon	13	Auliscomys	1
Blarinella	10	Atlantoxerus	26	Madoqua	27	Mesomys	2	Rousettus	8	Phyllotis	7	Pecari	2
Anourosorex	8	Sciurus	104	Otocyon	12	Proechimys	8	Neotragus	2	Galea	8		
Myotis	231	Ochotona	117	Mellivora	44	Philander	4	Crossarchus	2	Holochilus	11		
Eptesicus	73	Sicista	43	Papio	83	Bradypus	3	Scutisorex	2	Ozotoceros	6		
Pipistrellus	31	Apodemus	313	Pedetes	11	Priodontes	2	Heliosciurus	1	Calomys	10		
Plecotus	34	Micromys	37	Thryonomys	43	Neacomys	1	Bdeogale	1	Lophostoma	1		
Callosciurus	5	Chironectes	3	Caracal	41	Rhipidomys	2	Funisciurus	2	Leptonycteris	2		
Tamiops	3	Aotus	3	Ichneumia	8	Mazama	22	Protoxerus	2	Chimarrogale	2		
Dremomys	6	Presbytis	1	Cephalophus	59	Petauroides	2	Nyctereutes	41	Murina	4		
Hylopetes	12	Manis	10	Phacochoerus	90	Melomys	2	Anomalurus	1	Petaurista	3		
Mus	61	Thylamys	7	Lycaon	17	Antechinus	10	Macroscelides	3	Chiropodomys	1		
Hystrix	203	Noctilio	5	Theropithecus	179	Planigale	1	Meriones	22	Burramys	2		
Macaca	70	Thyroptera	3	Mungos	9	Sminthopsis	8	Glis	73	Pseudochirops	2		
Martes	66	Leopardus	15	Loxodonta	137	Lagorchestes	2	Cricetus	94	Macroderma	2		
Felis	174	Lutreolina	6	Ceratotherium	205	Inylogale	4	Calomyscus	3	Dendrolagus	2		
SUS	182	Lepus	301	Diceros	96	retaurus	4	Ellomys	106	Euphractus	7		
Aninoceros Gazolla	85	Parognathus	45	Tatora	391 AC	Cercartetus	1	Filascogale	2	Fundamomys	2		
Gazella	424	Spermonhilur	200	Corcocobus	46	Rettongia	5	Sarcophilus	6	Hinnocomelus	1		
Forme	1579	Catagonus	15	Supcorus	111	Wallahia	2	Vombatus	6	Graomus	1		
Hinnonotamus	452	Thomomys	207	Genetta	33	Isoodon	3	Lasiorhinus	2	Balantiontervy	1		
Cervus	399	Onvchomys	61	Bhynchocyon	8	Perameles	8	Potorous	4	Liomys	3		
Capreolus	80	Antrozous	22	Civettictis	4	Pseudocheirus	5	Nyctophilus	1	Dolichotis	5		
Erinaceus	73	Geomys	171	Raphicerus	110	Trichosurus	12	Mastacomys	2	Blastocerus	2		
Hyaena	85	Ammospermophilus	20	Cercopithecus	25	Hydromys	2	Hypsiprymnodon	1	Lyncodon	1		
Canis	713	Lasiurus	28	Colobus	11	Uromys	1	Strigocuscus	3	Pteronotus	3		
Vulpes	234	Parascalops	19	Aethomys	41	Pteronura	3	Muscardinus	61	Chrotopterus	1		
Ursus	346	Baiomys	25	Aonyx	26	Bassaricyon	2	Desmana	61	Lonchophylla	1		
Panthera	329	Nasua	7	Arvicanthis	22	Callicebus	1	Episoriculus	42	Necromys	3		
Microtus	816	Taxidea	62	Thallomys	27	Lagothrix	1	Meles	52	Lagidium	4		
Capra	41	Cynomys	85	Chlorocebus	12	Saguinus	3	Talpa	210	Colomys	1		
Bos	158	Scapanus	49	Scotophilus	4	Saimiri	1	Lagurus	36	Kerodon	1		
Procavia	83	Cryptotis	41	Nycteris	4	Dactylomys	1	Urotrichus	10	Vicugna	1		
Hipposideros	31	Neurotrichus	3	Jaculus	5	Oecomys	2	Spalax	32	Aplodontia	5		
Rhinolophus	81	Tayassu	31	Mastomys	21	Oligoryzomys	4	Soriculus	7	Pappogeomys	2		
Tadarida	29	Cerdocyon	6	Xerus	16	Nectomys	2	Galemys	14	Solenodon	1		
Dasypus	83	Notiosorex	23	Taphozous	6	Marmosops	1	Neomys	20	Macrotus	3		
Tamias	63	Reithrodontomys	85	Helogale	10	Callithrix	1	Dryomys	8	Brachyphylla	3		
Didelphis	44	Cratogeomys	22	Gerbillus	30	Dinomys	1	Cricetulus	27	Nycticeius	2		
Sylvilagus	275	Eumops	11	Pelomys	9	Alcelaphus	126	Arvicola	92	Geogale	2		
Blarina	82	Histiotus	1	Acomys	10	Acinonyx	28	Hemitragus	23	Microgale	6		
Scalopus	55	Dipodomys	135	Heterocephalus	14	Cabassous	1	Mesocricetus	5	Tenrec	2		
Sorex	516	Erethizon	66	Paraxerus	11	Microsciurus	1	Chionomys	2	Pteropus	1		
Castor	104	Antileconro	20	Lemmscomys	19	Loophuromus	2010	Avia		Chairagalaus	2		
Ongomur	1/2	Orthogeomys	29	Supcus	22	Proomur	2/	Muorpalay	12	Lomur	2		
Peromyscus	309	Phenacomys	61	Fidolon	25	Cricetomys	4	Rupicapra	4	Propithecus	2		
Sigmodon	201	Lasionycteris	3	Galago	12	Graphiurus	12	Hemiechinus	1	Avabi	1		
Neotoma	318	Microdipodops	3	Heterohyrax	8	Svivisorex	3	Barbastella	4	Fossa	1		
Neofiber	37	Oreamnos	9	Heteromys	3	Hylochoerus	6	Nyctalus	3	Cryptoprocta	1		
Ondatra	162	Myodes	124	Fira	4	Ictonyx	7	Rhizomys	10	Nesomys	1		
Synaptomys	73	Chaetodipus	22	Galictis	3	Proteles	9	Allactaga	8	Echinops	1		
Zapus	39	Ovis	90	Molossops	2	Cryptomys	22	Dorcopsis	3	Triaenops	1		
Urocyon	53	Enhydra	22	Molossus	3	Cynictis	18	Viverricula	1	Mormopterus	1		
Tremarctos	26	Lemmus	41	Artibeus	5	Elephantulus	32	Atherurus	3	Eliurus	2		
Procyon	92	Lemmiscus	28	Carollia	1	Amblysomus	6	Asellia	1	Paradoxurus	1		
Mustela	231	Brachylagus	8	Glossophaga	2	Steatomys	20	Tarsius	3	Uropsilus	1		
Spilogale	63	Ochrotomys	7	Micronycteris	4	Dendromus	21	Megaderma	8	Tylonycteris	1		
Mephitis	48	Glaucomys	26	Phyllostomus	3	Saccostomus	32	Ctenomys	27	Trichys	1		
Conepatus	19	Podomys	11	Sturnira	2	Otomys	34	Scaptonyx	5	Elaphodus	1		
Lontra	32	Tamiasciurus	33	Trachops	2	Rhabdomys	8	Alticola	1	Budorcas	1		
Lynx	161	Alces	50	Rhogeessa	2	Atilax	14	Eolagurus	4	Phyllops	1		
Odocoileus	237	Condylura	12	Alouatta	5	Bathyergus	18	Cavia	9	Gracilinanus	2		
Puma	51	Napaeozapus	9	Cuniculus	8	Suricata	7	Hylomys	5	Scapteromys	2		
Hydropotes	5	Vespertilio	10	Rhynchonycteris	1	Georychus	3	Ratufa	4	Clyomys	1		
Elephas	85	Gulo	22	Tonatia	2	Parotomys	2	Tupaia	3	Thrichomys	1		
Crocuta	142	Myrmecophaga	5	Cebus	4	Chrysochloris	8	Nycticebus	1	Prionailurus	1		
Paguma	4	Dicrostonyx	27	Zygodontomys	4	Tachyoryctes	15	Belomys	2	Lutrogale	1		
Herpestes	62	Uvibos	37	Coendou	8	Poecilogale	1	Niviventer	7	Babyrousa	2		

## 326 Supplementary Table 4. Number of fossil occurrences used to inform the most recent

327 common node of each genus in the amphibians and squamates phylogenies.

Squamata			Amphibians					
	Genus	Nb. of fossils used to inform the node		Genus	Nb. of fossils used to inform the node			
	Ophisaurus	107		Salamandra	20			
	Uromastyx	4		Pelodytes	6			
	Sceloporus	42		Plethodon	7			
	Anolis	25		Rana	212			
	Gerrhonotus	12		Pseudotriton	1			
	Rhineura	11		Bufo	195			
	Leiocephalus	7		Ambystoma	96			
	Eumeces	38		Hyla	64			
	Holbrookia	10		Barbourula	1			
	Phrynosoma	25		Tylototriton	2			
	Heloderma	4		Necturus	2			
	Crotaphytus	13		Cryptobranchus	8			
	Gambelia	1		Amphiuma	9			
	Uta	1		Siren	30			
	Anniella	2		Scaphiopus	28			
	Varanus	35		Rhinophrynus	2			
	Egernia	2		Taricha	3			
	Blanus	13		Spea	32			
	Chalcides	8		Andrias	13			
	Trogonophis	1		Acris	10			
	Lacerta	82		Pseudacris	21			
	Tarentola	3		Pseudobranchus	5			
	Agama	3		Notophthalmus	12			
	Tropidophorus	2		Gyrinophilus	3			
	Chamaeleo	8		Gastrophryne	6			
	Eremias	2		Discoglossus	12			
	Amphisbaena	2		Xenopus	6			
	Urosaurus	2		Litoria	3			
	Elgaria	1		Limnodynastes	3			
	Paroedura	1		Pelobates	30			
	Zonosaurus	1		Triturus	22			
	Furcifer	1		Alytes	2			
	Callisaurus	1		Bombina	5			
	Ameiva	3		Eupsophus	1			
	Sauromalus	1		Lechriodus	1			
	Aristelliger	1		Ceratophrys	2			
	Sphaerodactylus	1		Leptodactylus	3			
				Leiopelma	3			
				Eleutherodactylus	7			
				Desmognathus	7			
				Eurycea	1			
				Chioglossa	1			
				- Laliostoma	1			
				Ptychadena	1			
				Scaphiophryne	1			
				Rhacophorus	1			
				Dicamptodon	1			
				Lissotriton	5			

Mertensiella 1