

FAO Progress Report 1999-2001

Working Group : Identification, Study, and Utilization in Breeding Programs of New Cms Sources.

Participant: H. SERIEYS
INRA-UMR DGPC. Station de Génétique et d'Amélioration des Plantes. Domaine de Melgueil. 34130 MAUGUIO. FRANCE.

1. Identification of rf genes in perennial *H. mollis* and *H. orgyalis* species.

Numerous interspecific crosses were performed between female genotypes on Cms-PET1 or CMS-PEF1 cytoplasm and two diploid perennial species (*H. mollis* and *H. orgyalis*) and an outgroup species *Verbesina enceloides* from *helianthineae*.

CMS-PET1 restoration

The different situations observed (restored/ segregating/not restored) suggest that *H. mollis*, *H. orgyalis* and *Verbesina enceloides* wild plants contain homozygous or heterozygous alleles for fertility restoration of CMS PET1, at high frequency. The mean values of male fertility restoration were 53.5 %, 47.8 and 40.9 %, respectively for *H. mollis*, *H. orgyalis* and *Verbesina*

CMS-PEF1 restoration

Rf genes were identified at lower frequency in *H. mollis* and *H. orgyalis* (mean values for fertility restoration were 22.2 % and 16.7 % respectively). The unique hybrid plant obtained with *Verbesina* was not restored on that cytoplasm.

These results confirm that Rf genes for PET1 or PEF1 are rather common in perennial *Helianthus* species as well as in the *Helianthineae* tribe.

Cytoplasm	Female parent	Male parent	MF	MS	% MF	% MF (average)
CMS PET1	HA89 x AA7-2-4	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-9	25	16	60.98	53.1
	HA99	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-9	16	15	51.61	
	HA300	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-9	1	7	12.50	
	HA89 x 89B2	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-9	2	1	66.67	
	HA89 x AA7-2-4	<i>H. mollis</i> 600-8	9	0	100.00	100
	HA99	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-2	0	7	0.00	
	HA89 x AA7-2-4	<i>H. orgyalis</i> 108	8	5	61.54	47.8
	HA99	<i>H. orgyalis</i> 108	1	0	100.00	
	HA300	<i>H. Orgyalis</i> 108-8	0	5	0.00	
	HA89 x 89B2	<i>H. orgyalis</i>	2	2	50.00	
	HA89 x AA7-2-4	<i>Verbesina enceloides</i> 1086	2	5	28.57	40.9
	HA99	<i>Verbesina enceloides</i> 1086-1	4	4	50.00	
	2603	<i>Verbesina enceloides</i> 1086-1	1	1	50.00	
	HA89 x 89B2	<i>Verbesina enceloides</i> 1086-1	2	3	40.00	
CMS PEF1	D34 x 90HR15	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-9	0	3	0.00	22.2
	85B3 x 83HR4	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-9	0	1	0.00	
	85B3 x 89B2	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-9	2	3	40.00	
	85B3 x 83HR4	<i>H. mollis</i> 230-10	0	2	0.00	
	RHA274	<i>H. orgyalis</i> 108-4	1	0	100.00	16.7
	D34 x 90HR15	<i>H. orgyalis</i> 108-9	0	4	0.00	

D34 x 90HR15	H. orgyalis 108	0	2	0.00	
D34 x 90HR15	Verbesina encicloides 1086	0	1	0.00	0

2. RECIPROCAL CROSS AND CYTOPLASMIC EFFECTS ON AGRONOMIC TRAITS MEASURED ON ALLOPLASMIC HYBRIDS OF SUNFLOWER (*H. annuus* L.).

Serieys H *et al.* Proc.15th Int. Sunfl. Conf. 12-15 June 2000, Toulouse(Fra.) Vol II E-36, E-41H.

In sunflower, it was shown that the level of several agronomic quantitative traits was governed both by nuclear and cytoplasmic genetic components (Serieys, 1992; Petrov, 1992; Marinkovic *et al.*, 1996). Another important aspect, is the study of the cross direction effect on the characters, independently of the nuclear genotype or cytoplasmic background. The purpose of this study was to quantify the level of cytoplasmic and reciprocal cross effects related to important agronomic traits such as flowering period, plant height, oil content and seed yield.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Hybrid combinations were investigated in a multilocal design to estimate, the effects of the cross direction, cytoplasmic background and location on agronomic traits. We used three hybrid combinations of the four inbred lines [RHA265, WG], [HA89, WG] and [HA89, RHA274] and nine cytoplasms originated from the following sources: PET1 (Leclercq, 1969), PET2 (Whelan and Dedio, 1980), PEF1 (Serieys et Vincourt, 1987); GIG1 (Whelan, 1981), ANN1, ANN2, ANN3, ANN4 (Serieys et Vincourt, 1987) and ANL2 (Heiser, 1982).

Tab 1. Studied cytoplasm x hybrid combinations.

Cytoplasm	Hybrid combinations		
	RHA265 /WG	HA89 / WG	HA89/ RHA274
ANL2	X	X	
ANN1	X		X
ANN2	X	X	X
ANN3		X	X
ANN4	X		X
GIG1	X		
PET1		X	
PET2	X		
PEF1			X

Cytoplasms were compared through series of alloplasmic hybrids as indicated in Table 1. The parental lines of hybrids existed either under male-sterile or male-fertile forms allowing the creation of reciprocal hybrids. F1 hybrids onto ANN1, ANN2, ANN3 and ANN4 cytoplasms did not display any male-fertility restoration, whereas male-fertile plants were found in F1 hybrids with ANL2. Similarly the hybrid combination [WG, RHA265] expressed 13.5 % and 9.4 % of male fertile plants on GIG1 and PET2, respectively.

The experiments, using complete balanced-block design were performed at Montpellier and Toulouse and consisted of three to four replications with 60 to 80 plants each.

RESULTS (Tables 2 & 3)

Significant effects were registered in most of traits for location, cytoplasmic, cross direction and interaction between cytoplasm and cross direction. But we did not observe cytoplasmic effect for seed moisture, reciprocal effects for plant height or location effects for seed yield.

Table 2. Variance analysis. Cytoplasmic, reciprocal cross and location effects.

Hybrid combination	Trait	Effects			
		Location	Cytoplasm	Cross direction	Cyto * Cross direction.
RHA265 + WG	Height	***			*
	Days to flowering	***	***	***	***
	Yield		***	***	**
	Oil	***		**	
HA89 + WG	Height	***	**		***
	Days to flowering		***	***	***
	Yield		***	**	***
	Oil	***	***		
HA89 + RHA274	Height	***			
	Days to flowering	**	***	***	***
	Yield				
	Oil	***			

(*) $P < 0.05$, (**) $P < 0.01$, (***) $p < 0.001$

1) Cytoplasmic effects

Seed yield

Two hybrid combinations [WG, RHA265] and [WG, HA89] expressed significant cytoplasmic effects for seed yield, where the largest differences reached respectively 4.7 and 6.1 q.ha⁻¹ (ie 13 to 18 % of the mean yield). The ANN2 cytoplasm was outstanding for this trait.

Flowering time

The flowering date was significantly affected by the cytoplasmic component in the three hybrids. The largest differences in flowering time varied from 2.4 to 2.7 days. ANN2 induced either significant greater lateness (+ 2.6 and +2.7 days) compared to ANN3) respectively in the [RHA274, HA89] and [WG, HA89] hybrid combination, or the earliest flowering date in the [RHA265, WG] hybrid. These results suggest that flowering time is strongly interacting with the cytoplasmic background of the hybrid.

Plant height

Significant cytoplasmic effects were observed only in the [WG, HA89] hybrid. The ANN2 cytoplasm gave the highest plants.

Oil content

Significant cytoplasmic effects were observed only in the [HA89, WG] hybrid. Variation in oil content reached 4.6 % (ie 10 % of total content), the highest value was found on ANN2 and the lowest on ANL2 cytoplasms.

2) Reciprocal cross effects (Tab 2)

Seed yield

In the hybrids [WG, RHA265] and [WG, HA89], the direction of the cross has significant effect on seed yield. Seed yield was increased by 3.2 and 3.0 q.ha⁻¹ respectively when the inbred line WG was used as female parent.

Flowering date

The direction of the cross exerted a significant effect on the flowering time, in all three F1 hybrids. The flowering date was increased by of 2.1 and 2.6 days when WG line was used as

Table 3. Cytoplasmic and reciprocal cross effects.

Hybrid	Effects		Yield (g/ha)	Height (cm)	Flowering (days)	Oil content (%)
1 RHA265 + WG	Cytoplasm effect	ANL2	30.44 b	159.75	7.23 a	40.70
		ANN1	30.54 b	170.83	7.09 a	40.32
		ANN2	34.99 a	166.54	4.80 d	39.72
		ANN4	32.49 b	163.33	5.16 d	40.48
		PET2	30.28 b	164.40	5.87 c	39.88
		GIG1	31.75 b	170.47	6.45 b	39.77
		Sign. Pr > F	0.001	0.008	0.001	0.002
	Cross direction effect	RHA265 x WG	30.13	167.25	7.11	39.58
		WG x RHA265	33.37	164.52	5.09	40.71
		Sign. Pr > F	0.004	0.320	0.001	0.080
2 WG + HA89	Cytoplasm effect	ANL2	26.89 c*	133.87 b	4.13 b	41.52 b
		ANN2	33.01 a	142.44 a	5.70 a	46.12 a
		ANN3	27.71 bc	135.65 b	2.99 c	44.30 c
		PET1	30.53 ab	135.65 b	5.27 a	45.84 a
		Sign. Pr > F	0.001	0.008	0.001	0.002
	Cross direction effect	HA89 x WG	28.03	137.79	5.81	45.12
		WG x HA89	31.04	136.01	3.24	43.78
		Sign. Pr > F	0.004	0.320	0.001	0.080
3. RHA274 + HA89	Cytoplasm effect	ANN1	32.30	143.13	5.62 a	43.52
		ANN2	30.66	144.91	6.20 a	43.60
		ANN3	35.15	145.27	3.62 b	43.43
		ANN4	33.99	142.77	3.91 b	44.50
		PEF1	33.84	150.24	6.14 a	44.24
		Sign. Pr > F	0.061	0.230	0.001	0.450
	Cross direction effect	HA89 x RHA274	32.54	145.06	4.51	44.03
		RHA274 x HA89	33.84	145.47	5.69	43.69
		Sign. Pr > F	0.190	0.850	0.001	0.440

(*) Newman & Keuls test (0.05 level)

female parent in the crosses [WG, RHA265] and [WGHA89], respectively; and by 1.2 days when the RHA274 inbred line was used as female in the [RHA274, HA89] hybrid.

Oil content

Oil content was significantly modified (+ 1.1 %) by the direction of the cross in [WG, RHA265], when the WG inbred line was used as female parent.

CONCLUSIONS

The level of agronomic traits in alloplasmic hybrids frequently appeared under dependence either of the cytoplasmic background and / or the direction of the cross.

• Significant cytoplasmic effects were registered for flowering period, plant height, seed moisture, oil content and seed yield. In the three hybrid combinations the largest effects on

seed yield due to cytoplasm varied from 13.5 % to 18.2 %. For oil content, the range of CMS effect reached 10 % (between ANN2 and ANL2 CMS sources).

• Reciprocal effects were clearly identified for important agronomic traits. The most striking effects are linked to changes in flowering date, seed yield and oil content. So, days to flowering varied in the range of 1.2 to 2.6 days, seed yield in the range 3.0 - 3.3 q. ha⁻¹ and oil content in the range 0.4 to 1.3 to %. In our experiment, the magnitude of cross direction effect appeared always lower than cytoplasmic effects.

These results underline the importance of CMS background and direction of the cross in the performance of sunflower hybrids, suggesting that these parameters should be taken in consideration in the breeding programmes.

Bibliography

- Heiser C B, 1982. Crop Sci. 22: 1089.
Leclercq P, 1969. Ann. Amélior. plant. 19, 99-106
Seriéys H, Vincourt P, 1987. Les colloques de l'INRA, 45: 53-64. INRA, Paris.
Seriéys H., 1992. Proc of the 13th Intern. Sunfl. Conference. 1245-1250. 7-11 September 1992. Pisa (Italy).
Petrov P., 1992. Proc of the 13th Intern. Sunfl. Conference. 1211-1215. 7-11 September 1992. Pisa (Italy).
Marinkovic R., Dozet B., Joksimovic J. 1996. Proc. of the 14th Intern. Sunfl. Conference. 162-167 12-20 June 1996 Beijing (China).
Vear F., 1984. Agronomie, 4 (9) 901-904.
Whelan E D P, Dedio E, 1980. Crop Sci. 20: 832.
Whelan E D P., 1981. Crop Sci. 21, 855-858.